Dear Mr Wiseman

Thank you for your kind birthday greetings. They are accepted in the same spirit in which you sent them. I can hardly claim they were unsolicited.

Your latest admissions are more significant than you seem to have realised. I attach a copy of a press release being sent from today to interested parties commencing with Shell directors. Since you stand by your admission on the SGBP that confirms they are still basically worthless, it is apparent that nothing fundamental has changed in the intervening 7 years. Compare them against BP's equivalent code of conduct and the glaring deficiencies are plain to see. I have already pointed out the specifics. I will happily do so again if you wish to consult with me?

I note that NO comments are forthcoming from you in respect of Shell's association with Hakluyt? If you wish to hide the connection you should remove evidence from the website of Shell.com. Run a search on "Hakluyt".
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Thank you for your kind birthday greetings. They are accepted in the same spirit in which you sent them. I can hardly claim they were unsolicited.

Your latest admissions are more significant than you seem to have realised. I attach a copy of a press release being sent from today to interested parties commencing with Shell directors. Since you stand by your admission on the SGBP that confirms they are still basically worthless, it is apparent that nothing fundamental has changed in the intervening 7 years. Compare them against BP’s equivalent code of conduct and the glaring deficiencies are plain to see. I have already pointed out the specifics. I will happily do so again if you wish to consult with me?

I note that NO comments are forthcoming from you in respect of Shell’s association with Hakluyt? If you wish to hide the connection you should remove evidence from the website of Shell.com. Run a search on "Hakluyt".

I also see that my assumption in regards to Kendall Freeman also appears to be confirmed. Is Shell short of the funds to pay him as you imply or has Mr Joseph something to hide about Mr Hoots and/or the scandalous undercover activity/intimidation directed against us? That would explain the sudden disappearance of Mr Joseph from the scene.

Yours sincerely
Alfred Donovan

COPY OF PRESS RELEASE

Dr Eileen Buttle CBE
Director, Shell Transport & Trading Co plc
C/o Shell Centre
London SE1 7NA
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Dear Dr Buttle

The following is an extract from a press release by the Shell Shareholders Organisation being sent to US Class Action Lawyers, the media and other parties:

REPEATED WARNINGS OF A SHELL CORPORATE CULTURE OF COVER-UP AND DECEPTION WENT UNHEEDED (issued 26 April 2004)

Last Thursday, 22 April 2004, Shell Legal Director, Richard Wiseman, sent his sincere birthday greetings by e-mail to Alfred Donovan, the 87 year old Chairman of the Shell Shareholders Organisation. This kind gesture was despite the fact that Shell has been in an extraordinary feud with Mr Donovan for over a decade. During the same e-mail, Mr Wiseman updated and reconfirmed earlier admissions he had made in respect of:--

(1) Undercover operations targeted by Shell against Mr Donovan and his family.

(2) A glaring loophole in Shell's Statement of General Business Principles which completely undermines its guarantee of honesty, openness and integrity in all of Shell's dealings.

The fundamental flaw in the SGBP did not deter the Chairman of the Shell CMD, Jeroen van der Veer and his Vice Chairman, Malcolm Brinded from circulating messages to Shell employees worldwide last week (extracts below) quoting from the "core values" of the SGBP which Mr Wiseman admits have no legal value whatsoever. Like a bet with a bookmaker, they are binding in honour only. In other words, place your complete trust in an already discredited Shell management; in the case of Van der Veer and Brinded, two key players already implicated (up to their necks) in the oil reserves cover-up.

Mr Van der Veer stated the obvious in his message to "Dear colleagues" when he said: "We have to recognise that there will be more media articles in future that are not positive." Another massive understatement of reserves, this time in regards to any remaining reserve of respect for Shell's once proud reputation. Brinded said in his message of 21 April that "too much optionality" (whatever that is) "can clearly bring difficulties". He admitted that "with hindsight" factors relating to
"reserves replacement performance" were not previously clear to him. We are in possession of both messages in their entirety. The information comes from one of a number of Shell whistleblowers - current and former management level employees - one of whom has more "dynamite" information directly relating to the oil and gas reserves debacle. It is likely to be put into the public domain very shortly.

The reminder of the press release and the above correspondence with Mr Wiseman is published on Shell2004.com

Issued By: Shell Shareholders Organisation (email: shellshareholdersorg@hotmail.com)

Copied to all main Shell Directors (UK & Dutch)