Shell UK Ltd

	July 1, 19
 absolutely no reason why I should have asked for a letter about which I knew nothing. Q: It is just a coincidence, is it, that, on 28th October, you had written a strong recommendation to Watson and that, two days later, to your own knowledge, he had adopted that proposal and written to Sainsburys, and just a coincidence, as I suggest to you, that Mr Donovan has contended throughout, as you know, that you had the letter on 24th November? That is a coincidence, is it? A: I have explained why the Sainsburys briefing document and letter were made by me at the end of October. It was seizing what we perceived as a marketing 	 [1] the Concept Four on 4th June? [2] A: On 26th May or beforehand, yes. I mean, we have been [3] through all of this. [4] Q: Exactly. You have no recollection at all, is the point, [5] is it not? [6] A: About Concept Four and about the Sainsburys letter, no. [7] Q: No. You see, I want to understand, if I may, exactly [8] what you are saying about 24th November. [9] (4.00 pm) [10] Page 15 of your witness statement, paragraph 30; [11] "On 24th November I had a meeting with [12] John Donovan."
a) opportunity, I think. Because Sainsburys - either we	[13] You omit any reference to Mr Sotherton. Do you[14] accept that Mr Sotherton was present?
5] had suddenly become aware of them -	[15] A: I do.
 Q: I am not asking that question. Forgive me – A: I am trying to explain why we were talking to 	[16] Q: "At this meeting we discussed two new promotions that he
B] Sainsburys. We were talking to Sainsburys for the	[17] put forward: Hollywood Collection and Make Merry As [18] usual, I made a note of this meeting. I understand from
reasons I have explained earlier; because we became	[19] Mr Donovan's Statement of Claim that he alleges he left
aware of them through Comic Relief and/or Air Miles. I I mentioned it to David, David asked me to write a	[20] me a copy of a July 1990 letter he had written to
e) strategy document. This was all completely	[21] Sainsburys at this meeting. I have no recollection of[22] ever requesting, discussing or seeing that letter."
of correspondence between David Watson and myself. Whether	[23] First proposition: is that correct? You have no
or not, in a completely unprompted telephone call which	[24] recollection of requesting, discussing or seeing that
Mr Donovan must have made to me some time prior to Page 173	[25] letter? Page 1
	[1] A: Correct. I have never seen it.
] Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that] telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week.	[2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter.	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No.	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it" Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing.
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys,	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q : Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A : No. Q : He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A : I do not think there is any way he could, no.	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it" Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything.
Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it" Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it" Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything.
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and,
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no recollection of the conversation on 12th May about this 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of [19] generic concepts or ideas. [20] Q: We will come to that. You have no recollection of
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no recollection of the conversation on 12th May about this 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of [19] generic concepts or ideas. [20] Q: We will come to that. You have no recollection of [21] requesting or seeing the letter?
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no recollection of the conversation on 12th May about this multibrand loyalty concept? A: No. But, as we ascertained earlier on, clearly 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of [19] generic concepts or ideas. [20] Q: We will come to that. You have no recollection of [21] requesting or seeing the letter? [22] A: No.
 written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no recollection of the conversation on 12th May about this multibrand loyalty concept? A: No. But, as we ascertained earlier on, clearly something was mentioned at some stage. Probably in passing. 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of [19] generic concepts or ideas. [20] Q: We will come to that. You have no recollection of [21] requesting or seeing the letter? [22] A: No. [23] Q: Do you say that you did not see the letter, or that you [24] just have no recollection of it?
 Sainsburys, I cannot remember what was discussed at that telephone call. I had hundreds of calls every week. But I never knew about this Sainsburys letter. Q: Mr Donovan could never have known that Mr Watson had written to Sainsburys, could he? A: No. Q: He could never have known that, on the 28th, you had recommended to Watson such an approach to Sainsburys, could he? A: I do not think there is any way he could, no. Q: No. But he has, as you know, asserted throughout this entire proceeding that you asked him to bring a copy of a Sainsburys letter to the meeting on 24th November. He has always asserted that; you know that, do you not? A: I do know that. Q: You cannot recollect that conversation on the telephone? A: I have no recollection of it at all. Q: No. Indeed, it is right to say that you have no recollection of the conversation on 12th May about this multibrand loyalty concept? A: No. But, as we ascertained earlier on, clearly something was mentioned at some stage. Probably in 	 [2] Q: That is different from saying "I have no recollection of [3] it". Which is it? [4] A: If you compare the Concept Four and the Sainsburys [5] letter, having now poured over Concept Four for some [6] months in preparation for this trial, it seems to jog [7] memories. Nothing more than that. It seems to come [8] back to me that it may have been mentioned in passing. [9] But that is only after pouring over it in the last few [10] months. Nothing has jogged any memories about the [11] Sainsburys letter or even any discussion at all with [12] Mr Donovan about Sainsburys or anything. [13] Q: Forgive me, did you have the recollection, dimly [14] stirring, of seeing Concept Four when you made your [15] witness statement? [16] A: I do not think I did. It was some months ago when we [17] had to file these. It is only a dim recollection and, [18] as I say, if you look at it, it is a collection of [19] generic concepts or ideas. [20] Q: We will come to that. You have no recollection of [21] requesting or seeing the letter? [22] A: No. [23] Q: Do you say that you did not see the letter, or that you