## Shell UK Ltd

[1] I was engrossed in what I was doing.

Q: Anyway, I think you know where I stand in relation to [2]

[3] those two letters, do you not?

A: I know -[4]

MR HOBBS: Excuse me, I have just heard some more muttering. 5

MR COX: I am sorry if my learned friend heard my [6]

- [7] muttering. It was not intended to be heard.
- [8] I simply said to myself that, as I understood the
- position, my learned friend has no position. It is his [9]
- client who has the position. It may simply be a [10]
- [11] conflict of styles which exist in different division.
- [12] But it was not intended to be heard and, if he heard it
- [13] and it upset him, I apologise.

MR JUSTICE LADDIE: There is one system of civil justice. [14]

There is no difference between different courts. We [15]

[16] will play this one absolutely with a straight bat,

[17] Mr Cox and Mr Hobbs. Please try to keep your feelings [18] to yourselves, both of you.

MR COX: I apologise to my learned friend. If it upset him, [19] [20] then I do regret it.

MR HOBBS: Mr Donovan, you understand my client's position, [21] [22] do you, in relation to these two letters, which are

dated 24th July 1990 that we have been discussing for 1

[4] some period of time?

A: Yes, I do, and I have made my position clear as well: [25]

Page 89

[25]

[1] until the last few minutes of yesterday's trailing of [2] this extraordinary issue not one single notice or [3] mention has been made to the claimant that it was going [4] to be suggested that these letters were fraudulent. [5] That may or may not be improper or wrong, but this is [6] the first time the claimant has understood that these [7] letters are questioned in the sense that they were not [8] sent to Shell at the material times or to Sainsburys.

So, my Lord, in our submission, whatever my [9] [10] learned friend is about to say would be unsafe and wrong [11] admit and it may be that I have to consider a course in [12] this trial which could cause considerable delay by [13] application to your Lordship if it were to be admitted [14] or taken seriously.

MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr Hobbs, you may think, in view of [15] [16] Mr Cox's interjection, the best thing to do is to say [17] nothing more. If you want to subpoen somebody -

MR HOBBS: I certainly do not want to abort the trial. [18] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: No, I am not going to allow anything to [19] [20] happen to abort this trial, Mr Hobbs. So you may decide [21] the best thing to do is to say nothing more about it.

MR HOBBS: I will say nothing more and I will address the [22] [23] matter with my solicitors over the short adjournment and [24] decide what is the appropriate action.

MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Let us leave it like that.

Page 91

[1]	that they were written at that time.	[1	MR HOBBS: There is one point I should perhaps respond to-
[2	(12.30 pm)	[2	
[3	Q: Well, my Lord will be the judge of your answers now.	[3	recollection is that Mr Lazenby gave evidence about
[4]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr Hobbs, I understand in the bundles	[4	these letters, did he not?
15	there were no acknowledgments by either Shell or	Œ	MR HOBBS: He does give evidence. It is in several places.
[6]	Sainsburys in the files. That, so far as discovery	[6	
17	goes, none of this material was found in Shell's files,	17	guestion of no notice or warning, the authority which
	but there are documents missing from Shell's files. Let	[E	immediately springs to mind is John Walker in which it
1	us not worry about that for the moment. Has anybody		was held specifically that, if a case of this kind
[10]		[10	emerges during the course of a trial, the court can take
[11]	MR HOBBS: Before I answer that I need to speak to my	[11	] (inaudible) and counsel can raise it properly and
[12]	solicitor.		consistently with the evidence which emerges.
[13]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr Hobbs, before you say any more, if	[13	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Carry on.
[14]	this is a matter which you want to address at a time	[14	MR HOBBS: Would your Lordship give me two seconds to
[15]	more convenient to the presentation of your case, please	[16	
[16]	do not let me change the sequence,	[16	to do?
[17]	MR HOBBS: I am going to answer your Lordship's question	[17	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Yes. Would you like me to rise for five
[18]	now. What I wanted to check was that I was able to do	[18	minutes? I have very acute hearing. I am deliberately
[19]	it. That is why I have just spoken to my solicitors. A	[19	not listening, but I am also immensely inquisitive and
[20]	representative of DJ Freeman, who is the young lady	[20	I am finding it hard. Would you prefer me to rise?
[21]	solicitor sitting in front of me -	[21	MR HOBBS: I think I have finished and my point is that I am
[22]	MR COX: I object to this. We have had no notice of it. It	[22	
[23]	sounds very much like hearsay piled upon hearsay. That	[23	I should put. I think I have finished, but something
[24]	of course is a matter for your Lordship to judge.	[24	has just been said to me which would make me ask
[25]	I quite understand that. May I also make this plain:	1	your Lordship not to in fact release the witness, but
	Pag	e 90	Page

Page 92

Smith Bernal Rep.(0171-404 1400)

Min-U-Script®

## Shell UK Ltd

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
[1]	perhaps I can stop for the moment.	[1]	re-examination, you should not take it as granted that
[2]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: You want not to have Mr Cox re-examine,		I will allow you to have Mr Donovan back in the witness
-	or what?		box. You will have to make out strong grounds for it.
1	MR HOBBS: He would say that he should not re-examine until		Do you understand that? I do not want you to say it is
	I have made my position clear.		a foregone conclusion. Basically, once Mr Donovan has
	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: There are such serious issues in this	1	been in and out -
	case, Mr Hobbs. I would not want either your client or		
	Mr Donovan to be in the position where an attempt to	[7]	now sit down and stop.
	find the truth or full facts is impeded. Mr Cox has to		-
	re-examine anyway. How many more witnesses do we have	[9]	
			convenient for you to start now or would you prefer
	that one of the witnesses that you hope to have here	[12]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	today is not going to be here and we may run short.		] now.
		[14]	
		[15]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	convenient to the proper conduct of this case for me to	[16]	
	rise now and start again at 1.45 pm. I will do whatever	[17]	
	counsel want, as long as it is reasonable.	[18]	
		[19]	afternoon?
	that there is material that I might wish to put to this	[20]	MR COX: It very much looks so now. I do not have any
	witness, but I am being also given to understand that it	[21]	criticism about that at all. But it now looks as though
	cannot be brought here for certain by 2 o'clock. That	[22]	we shall not have any difficulty at all in so doing.
	is the reason why I am in this dilemma.	[23]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Thank you very much.
	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Mr Hobbs, this is your	[24]	(12.45 pm)
	cross-examination. You have to be prepared to	[25]	(The luncheon adjournment)
	Page 93		Page
	cross-examine.	[1]	( <mark>1.45 pm)</mark>
	MR HOBBS: Your Lordship -	[2]	Re-examination by MR COX
	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: There are faxes, you know	[3]	MR COX: Mr Donovan, one or two things, please. Could you
	MR HOBBS: I am sorry, my Lord, This is completely		turn to file E2, page 635?
	unforesceable from my perspective, no less than from	[5]	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Sorry, what page was that, Mr Cox?
	anybody else's perspective. The dilemma I am in is what	[6]	
	I am being told cannot materialise, if it can be made to	[7]	
	materialise, before 2 o'clock	[8]	
	MR JUSTICE LADDIE: If it is very important and you have	[9]	
		[10]	
	Mr Donovan, and if you have seen new material which you	[11]	
	,,		

[12] think is crucial, you can always make an application for

- [13] leave to have Mr Donovan put back in the witness box,
- [14] I will consider such an application on the merits and
- [15] bearing in mind the weight or significance of any
- [16] additional material you may have,
- [17] MR HOBBS: My Lord, yes,
- [18] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: I can do that, What I do not want is to
- [19] let this case go on one minute longer than necessary,
  [20] because both Shell and Mr Donovan are paying a lot of
  [21] money for it,
- [22] MR HOBBS: My decision, as captain of the ship, is to say
- [23] MR JUSTICE LADDIE: Before you say anything, I want you to
- [24] understand, Mr Hobbs, that, if you finish your
- [25] cross-examination and Mr Cox finishes his

Page 94

Page 96

A: Yes.

Q: 634.

A: Fine.

[19] until discovery; correct?

A: Correct.

[25] you understand?

A: A Shell letter to Senior King.

Q: That is it, dated 20th November 1995.

[18] Plainly, it is not a letter that you would have seen

[22] Mr Pirret, the General Manager of Retail, and he is

[23] answering, plainly, the theme on which Mr Hobbs was

[24] asking you questions, the claim made by Senior King. Do

Q: Let us just have a look at it together for a moment.

Q: It is a letter to Mr Grahame Senior of Senior King from

[12]

[13]

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[20]

[21]