Exhibit C

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
Civ. No. 04-3749 (JAP)

Hon. Joel A. Pisano

ORIGINAL

IN RE ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL
TRANSPORT SECURITIES
LITIGATION

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

OF

REMCO AALBERS

Taken on:

Monday, 19 February, 2007 Commencing at 9:37 a.m.

Taken at:

The Hague Zurich Tower
Muzenstraat 89
2511 WB The Hague
The Netherlands

REPORTED BY: FREDERICK WEISS, CSR, CM

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES 2 On behalf of Peter M. Wood, lead Plaintiff, and the Class: 3 JEFFREY HABER, ESQUIRE 4 REBECCA R. COHEN, ESQUIRE BERNSTEIN, LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 5 10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016 6 Telephone: (212) 779-1414 7 On behalf of the Witness and the Shell Defendants: 8 JONATHAN R. TUTTLE, ESQUIRE 9 DAVID C. WARE, ESQUIRE Debevoise & Plimpton, LLP 10 555 13th Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 11 Telephone: (202) 383-8124 12 EARL WEED, ESQUIRE ROYAL DUTCH/SHELL 13 In-House Counsel 14 On Behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers: 15 DEREK J.T. ADLER, ESQUIRE Hughes & Hubbard 16 One Battery Park Plaza, New York, New York 10004 - 1482 Telephone: (212) 422-4726 17 18 On behalf of KPMG Accountants N.V.: 19 NICHOLAS W.C. CORSON, ESQUIRE Hogan & Hartson, LLP 875 Third Avenue, 20 New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 918-3606 21 22

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS

	Page 3
_	
1	APPEARENCES (continued)
2	On Behalf of Judith Boynton:
3	REBECCA E. WICKHEM, ESQUIRE
	FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP
4	777 East Wisconsin Avenue,
	Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306
5	Telephone: (414) 297-5681
6	On Behalf of Sir Philip Watts:
7	JOSEPH I. GOLDSTEIN, ESQUIRE
	ADRIAEN M. MORSE, ESQUIRE
8	MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
	1909 K Street, N.W.
9	Washington, D.C. 20006-1101
	Telephone: (202) 263-3344
10	
	Also present:
11	
	LEEN GROEN, KPMG ACCOUNTANTS, N.V.
12	
	ALASTAIR HUNTER, KPMG ACCOUNTANTS, N.V.
13	indication in the Accountance, N.V.
13	STEVEN J. PEITLER, INVESTIGATOR
14	BERNSTEIN, LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP
15	
	Deponent: Remco Aalbers
16	The Videographer: Richard Bly
17	Court Reporter: Frederick Weiss
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
÷	

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

		Pag
INDEX		
DEPONENT		
REMCO AALBERS		
Examination	Page No:	
Examination by Mr. Goldstein	8	
Examination by Mr. Haber	17	
EXHIBIT INDEX		
EXHIBIT	Page No:	
Aalbers Exhibit A -	14	
Three-page Copy of E-mail string from	Remco	
Aalbers to John Bell, Pauline Eward to		
John Bell and Lorin Brass in January 2	001	
Aalbers Exhibit B -	17	
Two-page copy of E-mail string from Re	mco	
Aalbers to Johannes Van Poppel, Bea Je	spers,	
Aidan McKay regarding Proved Reserves		
Aalbers Exhibit C -	24	
Five-page copy of E-mails from Remco A	albers	
To Andrew Dueck and Anton Barendregt		
Regarding Proved Reserves, and E-mail	from	
Thomas Meijssen dated October 24, 2000		
Aalbers Exhibit D -	33	
Three-page copy of E-mail string from	Thomas	
Meijssen to Anton Barendregt regarding		
Reserves bearing Bates Nos. RJW001517		
RJW001151705		

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS
(800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

			Page 5
_			
1	I N D E X - continued		
2	EXHIBIT INDEX - continued		
3	EXHIBIT	ige No:	
4			
	Aalbers Exhibit E -	34	
5	Four-page copy of E-mail string from Anton		
	Barendregt to Thomas Meijssen and Remco Aal	bers	
6	Bearing Bates Nos. OM00205 - OM00208		
7	Aalbers Exhibit F -	39	
	Two-page copy of E-mail string from Remco		
8	Aalbers to Thomas Meijssen and Said Abri		
	Regarding Reserves issued dates January 2,		
9	2001		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

Page 6

09:37:43 1 PROCEEDINGS --09:37:43 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the video 09:37:46 3 operator speaking, Richard Bley, for LegalLink 09:37:50 4 Action Video, which is located at 420 Lexington 09:37:54 5 Avenue, New York, New York. 09:37:55 6 Today's date is February 19th, 09:37:58 7 2007. The time on the record is 9:37 a.m. 09:38:00 8 We are in a conference room in The 09:38:03 9 Hague, the Netherlands, to take the videotape 09:38:0710 deposition of Remco Aalbers. \$8:0911 In the matter of In re: Royal 09:38:14 12 Dutch/Shell Transport Securities Litigation in the 09:38:16 13 United States District Court for the District of 09:38:18 14 New Jersey, Civil Action Number 04-3749 (JAP) 09:38:24 15 consolidated cases before Honorable Joel A. 09:38:29 16 Pisano. 09:38:29 17 Would counsel please introduce 09:38:32 18 themselves? 09:38:34 19 MR. HABER: Jeffrey Haber, 09:38:38 20 Bernstein, Liebhard & Lifshitz on behalf of the 09:38:40 21 lead plaintiff, Peter M. Wood and the class. 88:40 22 MS. COHEN: Rebecca Cohen,

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS
(800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

Page 7

	Tage /
09:38:40 1	Bernstein, Liebhard & Lifshitz on behalf of the
09:38:48 2	lead Plaintiff, Peter M. Wood and the class.
09:38:48 3	MR. ADLER: Derek Adler, Hughes,
09:38:52 4	Hubbard & Reed, on behalf of
09:38:55 5	PricewaterhouseCoopers, the U.K. firm.
09:38:55 6	MR. CORSON: Nicholas Corson with
09:38:56 7	Hogan & Hartson, on behalf of KPMG accountants,
09:39:02 8	N.V. And I am accompanied today by Leen Groen and
09:39:08 9	Alastair Hunter, both with KPMG.
09:39:08 10	MS. WICKHEM: Rebecca Wickhem with
39:10 11	Foley & Lardner, LLP on behalf of Judith Boynton.
09:39:12 12	MR. GOLDSTEIN: Joseph Goldstein
09:39:15 13	with Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, on behalf of Sir
09:39:17 14	Philip Watts.
09:39:18 15	MR. MORSE: Adriaen Morse with Mayer
09:39:21 16	Brown for Sir Philip Watts.
09:39:21 17	MR. WARE: David Ware, Debevoise &
09:39:23 18	Plimpton, LLP, on behalf of Royal Dutch/Shell
09:39:25 19	Transport, and witness Remco Aalbers.
09:39:27 20	MR. WEED: Earl Weed, in-house for
09:39:31 21	Shell.
39:32 22	MR. TUTTLE: Jonathan Tuttle,

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

Page 8

09:39:34 1 Debevoise & Plimpton, on behalf of the Shell 09:39:36 2 Defendants and the witness here today. 09:39:41 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Aalbers? 09:39:44 4 THE WITNESS: He said the lawyers 09:39:45 5 only, so I am waiting for him. 09:39:48 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I am sorry. Do 09:39:49 7 you want him to identify himself? Or are we going to have him swear the witness? I am sure that --09:39:52 8 09:39:54 9 MR. TUTTLE: Yes. 09:39:54 10 MR. HABER: Swear the witness. β9:55 11 MR. TUTTLE: Swear the witness, 09:39:56 12 because we closed the record at the last one. 09:39:58 13 MR. HABER: Yes. 09:40:07 14 REMCO AALBERS, 09:40:07 15 Called as a Witness, after being duly sworn, 09:40:07 16 testified as follows: 09:40:07 17 EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLDSTEIN 09:40:12 18 · Q. Mr. Aalbers, again, my name is Joe 09:40:15 19 Goldstein. I represent Phil Watts. And I want to 09:40:18 20 thank you for coming and being deposed today. 09:40:25 21 I am going to ask you a few 10:27 22 questions. If you don't understand my questions,

Page 9

then please tell me so I will rephrase. And I want to make sure that you do understand the questions that you are being asked.

I have only a few questions. And they concern the testimony that Walter van de Vijver gave on February the 2nd, 2007 about a conversation that he said that he had with Lorin Brass sometime in the second half of 2004 after Mr. Van de Vijver left Shell during the visit by all The Hague EP ExCom members.

I am going to read the transcript section to you. It's page 517, line 18 to page 518 line 5.

(Reading)

Question, and what did Mr. Brass and you talk about? Answer, Brass -- through the chatter -- through the sort of chatter we were having at that time, which you can imagine was quite an emotional get-together with lots of beer, and Brass mentioned to me a reference to a handshake.

Question, what did he say about a

09:40:38 5
09:40:43 6
09:40:46 7
09:40:49 8
09:40:54 9

09:40:29 1

09:40:32 2

09:40:34 3

09:40:36 4

09:41:05 12

11:03 11

09:40:59 10

09:41:10 13

09:41:11 14

09:41:11 15

09:41:15 16

09:41:19 17

09:41:21 18

09:41:24 19

09:41:27 20

09:41:29 21

1:31 22

09:41:34 1	handshake? Answer, it was a handshake from Phil
09:41:38 2	Watts to Remco Aalbers.
09:41:42 3	Question, what was the significance
09:41:43 4	of the handshake? Answer, that related to the
09:41:46 5	booking on Oman in early 2000.
09:41:50 6	Mr. Aalbers, did you have any
09:41:57 7	agreement, whether a handshake agreement,
09:41:59 8	handshake deal, or other form of agreement, with
09:42:03 9	Mr. Watts regarding the booking of reserves in
09:42:06 10	Oman in early 2000 or any other time?
42:09 11	A. No, I didn't.
09:42:11 12	Q. Did you ever enter into an
09:42:13 13	agreement with Mr. Watts regarding the booking of
09:42:16 14	reserves by any Shell operating unit?
09:42:18 15	A. No, I didn't.
09:42:19 16	Q. Did you ever tell anyone that you
09:42:22 17	had an agreement, whether a handshake agreement,
09:42:25 18	handshake deal, or other form of agreement with
09:42:28 19	Mr. Watts, regarding the booking of reserves in
09:42:30 20	Oman in early 2000 or any other time?
09:42:33 21	A. No.
42:34 22	Q. Thank you.
· · ·	

Page 11

09:42:34 1	EXAMINATION BY MR. HABER
09:42:39 2	Q. I'd like to ask you some questions,
09:42:41 3	Mr. Aalbers. My name is Jeffery Haber, again.
09:42:45 4	If you may recall, I asked you a
09:42:47 5	series of questions over a two-day period
09:42:51 6	previously?
09:42:51 7	A. I do recall.
09:42:52 8	Q. I hope it wasn't as painful as
09:42:54 9	other people may have thought.
09:42:55 10	MR. TUTTLE: It's in the eye of the
13:00 11	beholder.
09:43:01 12	THE WITNESS: I am afraid to
09:43:03 13	comment.
09:43:03 14	(Laughter in the room)
09:43:04 15	Is that the legal term?
09:43:04 16	BY MR. HABER:
09:43:06 17	Q. Enough said. But anyway, we
09:43:07 18	appreciate you coming back here today.
09:43:09 19	Have you discussed your testimony
09:43:11 20	with anyone today, other than Mr. Tuttle?
09:43:16 21	A. No.
13:16 22	Q. Did you discuss your testimony with

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

Page 12

09:43:18 1 any lawyer from Mayer Brown? 09:43:22 Α. From who? 09:43:23 3 Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, anyone 0. 09:43:29 4 from Mr. Goldstein's firm? 09:43:31 5 Α. No. 09:43:31 6 Q. Were you provided a copy of the 09:43:33 7 questions that Mr. Goldstein just asked you today? 09:43:37 8 Α. No. 09:43:37 9 Q. I want to ask you a question with 09:43:39 10 regard to your communication with Mr. Watts. ¥3:43 11 During the ARPR for 2000, which gets reported in 09:43:48 12 2001, did you have any communications with Mr. 09:43:52 13 Watts? 09:43:55 14 Α. No, not that I recall. 09:43:59 15 Q. Did you have any E-mail 09:44:02 16 correspondence with Mr. Watts concerning the ARPR? 09:44:09 17 Α. Not directly. But it's possible 09:44:12 18 that he was copied on an E-mail, but I can't 09:44:14 19 remember off the top of my head. But certainly 09:44:16 20 the reserves were reported to the ExCom. 09:44:20 21 would have received that. 4:21 22 And when you say the reserves Q.

09:44:23 1	reported to the ExCom, was that through a note for
09:44:26 2	information or a discussion?
09:44:26 3	A. Yes. So that's when the reserves
09:44:29 4	actually headed up, basically in January of 2001.
09:44:33 5	And during the year, we reported
09:44:35 6	latest estimates, which were reported to the ExCom
09:44:39 7	as well.
09:44:39 8	Q. And how were the latest estimates
09:44:42 9	reported to the ExCom? Through a note?
09:44:44 10	A. If I recall, they were part of
44:48 11	the I think it was the monthly latest
09:44:51 12	estimates. And it got added in in that total
09:44:54 13	package where all the other numbers were in there
09:44:57 14	as well, all the other financial numbers.
09:44:59 15	Q. Now, did you ever have any
09:45:01 16	face-to-face meetings with Mr. Watts during the
09:45:03 17	ARPR process?
09:45:04 18	A. Of which specific year?
09:45:06 19	Q. Again, I am talking about the 2000,
09:45:08 20	which gets reported in January of 2001?
09:45:11 21	A. No. I mean no.
15:13 22	Q. Do you recall participating in a

Page 14

09:45:17 1 video conference or a picture teleconference with 09:45:21 2 Mr. Watts? 09:45:22 3 Yes. I think that was the year 09:45:23 4 before, but I am not 100% sure. 09:46:13 5 (Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit A was 09:46:15 6 marked for identification.) 09:46:18 7 (Handing to the Witness) 09:46:18 8 For the record, while the witness Ο. 09:46:20 9 is looking at the document, we have just marked as 09:46:22 10 Aalbers Exhibit A, it's a series of E-mails, the last of which is from Mr. Aalbers to a John Bell. ¥6:28 11 09:46:34 12 It's dated January 26, 2001. 09:46:36 13 This document was produced from a 09:46:40 14 native hard drive that was produced to us from 09:46:45 15 Shell, and therefore there is no Bates number. 09:46:47 16 And unfortunately there is no 09:46:48 17 summation document number either. 09:47:11 18 (Pause) 09:47:32 19 Mr. Aalbers, does Exhibit A refresh 09:47:35 20 your recollection of participating in a picture 09:47:38 21 teleconference? 7:39 22 No. I mean, I remember the picture Α.

09:47:41 1	telephone. Like I said, I wasn't sure it was 2000
09:47:45 2	or 2001. This seems to suggest it was 2001.
09:47:49 3	Q. Who else participated in this
09:47:54 4	picture teleconference?
09:47:55 5	A. To my recollection, Dominique
09:47:57 6	Gardy.
09:47:58 7	Q. If I am correct then, it would be
09:48:01 8	you, Mr. Gardy and Mr. Watts?
09:48:04 9	A. Yes. Dominique Gardy and myself
09:48:06 10	were in the Hague, and I think Mr. Watts was in
48:0811	London or somewhere near. It was on the other
09:48:13 12	side of the PC.
09:48:14 13	Q. Prior to this picture
09:48:16 14	teleconference, did you have any meetings or
09:48:18 15	communications with Mr. Watts concerning the ARPR?
09:48:21 16	A. The reserves note was submitted. I
09:48:32 17	don't recall that there were any other
09:48:33 18	communications other than that the data was
09:48:3619	submitted as such.
09:48:37 20	Q. So were the reserves submitted
09:48:42 21	after this teleconference or before?
18:44 22	A. I think the numbers were submitted

	1490 1
09:48:48 1	before, because otherwise there weren't any
09:48:50 2	numbers to talk about.
09:48:59 3	(Videographer adjusts microphones)
09:49:54 4	Q. Do you recall if the reserves, the
09:50:00 5	Proved Reserves were finalized in February of
09:50:03 6	2001?
09:50:05 7	A. Yes. They would have been.
09:50:07 8	Q. So when you just testified that the
09:50:10 9	numbers were submitted, were those numbers the
09:50:13 10	final numbers?
50:17 11	I am just trying to understand what
09:50:18 12	numbers you are referring to now.
09:50:23 13	A. Let me think about this one.
09:50:25 14	Normally the preliminary numbers were normally
09:50:3015	reported to the ExCom, and they were finalized
09:50:3316	just prior to the actual press release. And they
09:50:3617	were normally finalized just before that in the
09:50:3918	reserves meeting.
09:50:4019	I would have to 26, I can't
09:50:48 20	remember what the exact date was. It was normally
09:50:50 21	like the third Tuesday or something.
0:53 22	I think it probably would have been

09:50:54 1	the preliminary numbers, and then they would have
09:50:58 2	had to have been signed off to be finalized.
09:51:00 3	MR. HABER: Just mark as Exhibit B.
09:51:30 4	(Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit No. B
09:51:33 5	was marked for identification)
09:51:34 6	Q. For the record, Mr. Aalbers, this
09:51:36 7	document which is marked as Aalbers Exhibit B,
09:51:39 8	it's two E-mails, the last of which is from Mr.
09:51:44 9	Aalbers to a Johannes van Poppel with a CC to Bea
09:51:48 10	Jespers and Aidan McKay. It's dated February 5,
51:55 11	2001.
09:51:55 12	The subject line reads: "ARPR
09:52:01 13	1/1/2001 - Proved Reserves finalised."
09:53:12 14	(Pause)
09:53:12 15	Now, Mr. Aalbers, does Exhibit B
09:53:1716	refresh your recollection as to when the numbers
09:53:1917	were finalized.
09:53:21 18	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.
09:53:21 19	BY MR. HABER:
09:53:23 20	Q. You can answer.
09:53:23 21	A. Like I said, these were finalized
53:25 22	early February prior to the submission of the

09:53:29 1	proved reserves and the quarterly well, the
09:53:32 2	fourth quarter results in the full year 2000, and
09:53:35 3	in February.
09:53:36 4	Q. And in this document here, it's
09:53:39 5	reflected as February 2, if you look at the bottom
09:53:43 6	E-mail from you to a number of people?
09:53:47 7	A. Yes. Like I said, that was when we
09:53:51 8	had the review with the auditors, so that's when
09:53:53 9	it got closed out.
09:53:54 10	Q. Now, if you turn the page
)53:58 11	A. Yes.
09:53:58 12	Q to page two, the paragraph that
09:54:00 13	begins "Prior to the external audit clearance"?
09:54:03 14	A. Yes.
09:54:03 15	Q. "The Proved Reserves were reviewed
09:54:05 16	directly with Phil Watts as EP CEO?
09:54:09 17	A. Yes. So that was the
09:54:11 18	teleconference.
09:54:12 19	Q. Now, do you recall what was
09:54:14 20	discussed during that teleconference?
09:54:19 21	A. No, I don't. It was a fairly brief
54:22 22	session. Like it's suggested here, I mean, the
•	

09:54:25 1	reserves that year were pretty good and Phil was
09:54:28 2	happy with the end results.
09:54:29 3	I don't recall what specifics we
09:54:31 4	discussed. Basically Phil agreed that he was
09:54:33 5	happy with the numbers and was going to sign them.
09:54:35 6	But other than that, I don't recall
09:54:37 7	much.
09:54:37 8	Q. Do you recall Oman being discussed
09:54:40 9	during that picture teleconference?
09:54:43 10	A. No, I don't don't recall it was
54:46 <u>11</u>	specifically discussed. It probably would have
09:54:4712	come up, because it was a significant booking.
09:54:50 13	But I don't recall what was discussed on it.
09:54:52 14	Q. Why was it a significant booking?
09:54:56 15	A. Well, it was one of the areas where
09:55:00 16	we had an increased booking, which helped
09:55:05 17	basically get the result we had in that year.
09:55:08 18	Q. What was your involvement in that
09:55:20 19	booking?
09:55:22 20	A. Well, I think we covered that in
09:55:26 21	the prior testimony. As part of the review the
15:30 22	year before, where there was some issues with

09:55:33 1	Oman, I went to Oman.
09:55:34 2	And we looked at ways for Oman to
09:55:38 3	report their reserves better, because Proved
09:55:40 4	Reserves had typically been not been a focus in
09:55:43 5	Oman, because they were very much focused on
09:55:49 6	expectation reserves with the government.
09:55:52 7	So we got them involved in having
09:55:56 8	them look at their Proved Reserves, and bring
09:56:00 9	those in line with sort of actual field production
09:56:03 10	data. And basically those got reported.
6:05 11	And also find out a way to come up
09:56:08 12	with proved forecasts, which they typically didn't
09:56:10 13	have because they just focused on expectation, and
09:56:13 14	come up with a proper cut-off between with in and
09:56:17 15	with outside licenses.
09:56:18 16	Q. Now, in connection with your role
09:56:20 17	in the booking of these reserves, did you have an
09:56:23 18	understanding that Mr. Watts was looking for
09:56:2519	reserves additions in Oman?
09:56:29 20	MR. TUTTLE: Object to form.
09:56:30 21	Foundation.
6:30 22	BY MR. HABER:

09:56:31 1	Q. You can answer.
09:56:32 2	A. No, he wasn't. Basically, I mean,
09:56:34 3	we had a target of getting to 100% reserves
09:56:37 4	replacement ratio. That was a sort of what an oil
09:56:40 5	company is looking for.
09:56:42 6	And as part of the review and
09:56:43 7	discussion with Aidan McKay, we looked at Oman and
09:56:47 8	we knew that there were some issues there; and we
09:56:50 9	tried to resolve them for that year.
09:56:52 10	Q. Who set the 100% target for the
6:55 11	RRR, the reserves replacement ratio?
09:56:5712	A. Who set the target. I guess it was
09:57:11 13	set by the collective ExCom, because that was sort
09:57:15 14	of the target that we as an EP company had. I
09:57:18 15	mean, you want to replace your reserves, because
09:57:21 16	that way you don't shrink your company.
09:57:24 17	Q. Did you have an understanding that
09:57:25 18	Mr. Watts was pushing for reserves additions to
09:57:2919	meet the 100% target?
09:57:31 20	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.
09:57:32 21	Foundation.
7:33 22	THE WITNESS:

09:57:33 1	A. Well, reserves like I said were in
09:57:35 2	the scorecard. And it was clear that, as an oil
09:57:39 3	company, we were trying to look for reserves.
09:57:39 4	BY MR. HABER:
09:57:42 5	Q. Did you feel under pressure to meet
09:57:44 6	that target?
09:57:48 7	MR. TUTTLE: Objection. Asked and
09:57:49 8	answered during his prior deposition testimony.
09:57:49 9	BY MR. HABER:
09:57:53 10	Q. You can answer.
57:55 11	A. No more than I think on any other
09:57:57 12	target, except specifically of course the reserves
09:58:0113	were what I looked after.
09:58:02 14	And but I think, like I said
09:58:04 15	before, I mean, reserves, they are either going to
09:58:0716	be bookable or they were not, and we would see
09:58:0917	what the outcome is.
09:58:10 18	Q. Did you feel under pressure to find
09:58:1619	reserves to book in Oman?
09:58:17 20	A. No.
09:58:17 21	Q. Would it surprise you if other
58:19 22	people had told regulators that you had told them

09:58:22 1	that you were under pressure to book reserves in
09:58:24 2	Oman?
09:58:25 3	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.
09:58:26 4	Foundation.
09:58:28 5	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that
09:58:29 6	question?
09:58:30 7	BY MR. HABER:
09:58:30 8	Q. Sure. Would it surprise you if
09:58:32 9	other people had told regulators that, at the
09:58:3710	time, you were under pressure to book reserves in
58:42 11	Oman?
09:58:43 12	A. I think you said something else.
09:58:46 13	Would I be surprised if others had said?
09:58:47 14	Q. Right.
09:58:50 15	A. I don't know.
09:58:5216	MR. TUTTLE: I renew my objection,
09:58:54 17	even though he has re-asked the question again.
09:58:58 18	THE WITNESS:
09:58:5819	A. It doesn't ring a bell.
09:59:01 20	BY MR. HABER:
09:59:02 21	Q. By booking the reserves in Oman,
ь9:03 22	did that change whether PDO would meet its

09:59:10 1	scorecard target?
09:59:13 2	A. I don't believe PDO had Proved
09:59:17 3	Reserves on their scorecard.
09:59:18 4	Q. Well then what scorecard were you
09:59:20 5	referring to?
09:59:21 6	A. There is a group scorecard. So
09:59:23 7	that was the group, so that was the Hague
09:59:25 8	centrally. And then each region owned their part
09:59:28 9	of their scorecards of that scorecard, sorry.
09:59:32 10	So I mean the EPM region, so the Middle East would
Б9:36 <u>11</u>	have a scorecard in terms of meeting their
09:59:3912	targets.
10:00:27 13	(Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit C was
10:00:30 14	marked for identification.)
10:00:32 15	Q. I just handed the witness another
10:00:34 16	series of E-mails. Like the other two Exhibits,
10:00:37 17	this one was produced off a native drive and
10:00:41 18	therefore there are no Bates numbers.
10:00:43 19	The last E-mail is from Mr. Aalbers
10:00:46 20	to an Andrew Dueck I think that's the way it's
10:00:50 21	pronounced with a CC to Anton Barendregt. It's
10:56 22	dated January 25, 2001.
*	la contra de la cont

	;
10:00:58 1	The subject line reads, "Proved
10:01:00 2	Reserves increase - OUTSTANDING!"
10:01:49 3	Q. Mr. Aalbers, have you seen this
10:01:50 4	E-mail before today?
10:01:51 5	A. Yes, I have.
10:01:51 6	Q. Who is Andrew Dueck?
10:01:54 7	A. Andrew Dueck was the finance
10:01:58 8	advisor for EPM at the time.
10:02:00 9	Q. If you turn to the second page?
10:02:01 10	A. Yes.
)2:01 11	Q. There is the E-mail from Mr. Dueck
10:02:05 12	to Steven Kursey? (Sic)
10:02:08 13	A. Steve Kersley.
10:02:10 14	Q. Kersley. Thank you. I am sorry.
10:02:12 15	Which is dated January 23, 2001.
10:02:14 16	The last paragraph of that E-mail
10:02:17 17	says, "This" and in context it appears to be
10:02:22 18	referring to the increase of reserves in Oman
10:02:2819	"is also having an effect on EPM scorecard
10:02:32 20	overall, possibly moving us from 'below' to 'on
10:02:38 21	target', subject to confirmation of remaining core
2:42 22	measures."

Ī	
10:02:43 1	Do you recall having any
10:02:45 2	discussions with Mr. Dueck concerning the effect
10:02:49 3	of an increase of reserves on the EPM scorecard?
10:02:53 4	A. Well, I mean, there is this E-mail
10:02:55 5	which states what the result is, and this was what
10:02:59 6	I meant with the region scorecard. So the EPM
10:03:02 7	scorecard obviously got changed.
10:03:04 8	Well, the result of the scorecard
10:03:06 9	is all the individual measures, so the reserves
10:03:09 10	have an impact on that. So
)3:10 11	MR. TUTTLE: I go ahead. I want
10:03:11 12	you to finish.
10:03:12 13	THE WITNESS: So indeed, I mean
10:03:14 14	having an additional booking of the Oman reserves
10:03:16 15	changes their score on Proved Reserves addition,
10:03:24 16	which is one of the measures of the scorecard.
10:03:24 17	So it has an effect on the overall scorecard.
10:03:28 18	MR. TUTTLE: Mr. Haber, just a note
10:03:29 19	for the record, we consented to an additional
10:03:35 20	deposition. And I know that you disagree with
10:03:37 21	this, but I want to make sure that it's on the
)3:39 22	record.
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	F	Page 27
10:03:40 1	But in order to follow up on the	
10:03:41 2	specific questions regarding the existence of a	
10:03:44 3	potential agreement between Mr. Aalbers and Mr.	
10:03:45 4	Watts, I am happy to let you explore that and	
10:03:50 5	explore any possibility of any such agreement.	:
10:03:53 6	You have had two days with Mr.	
10:03:55 7	Aalbers already.	
10:03:56 8	This E-mail by itself has no	
10:03:58 9	reference to Mr. Watts. The questions, in terms	
10:04:03 10	of scorecards and other issues, are points that	
04:0711	have been covered in prior testimony.	
10:04:09 12	I just want to give you I guess	
10:04:11 13	notice on this that I would very much like to not	
10:04:15 14	have to object and instruct the witness not to	
10:04:18 15	answer.	
10:04:19 16	But I do feel like the scope of	
10:04:21 17	your questions are going far beyond what the	
10:04:23 18	magistrate permitted in terms of this deposition	
10:04:26 19	and what we consented to.	
10:04:29 20	I will note that, you know, I have	
10:04:33 21	tried to give you as wide a latitude as possible	TO THE PARTY OF TH
04:36 22	to explore any aspect of this, and I am happy to	
,		

10:04:40 1	do that congistant with the underlying come of
	do that consistent with the underlying scope of
10:04:43 2	what I believe this deposition is for.
10:04:45 3	MR. HABER: Well, you are correct.
10:04:47 4	We do disagree. We were not privy to any
10:04:53 5	agreement or any consent, as you know, with regard
10:04:57 6	to this re-deposition.
10:04:58 7	It was our understanding, based
10:05:00 8	upon what the position we advised the magistrate
10:05:03 9	of during our teleconference with the court was
10:05:09 10	that we wanted to get into the underlying
05:12 11	rationale for the booking, which also would
10:05:15 12	include whether or not there was pressure or any
10:05:20 13	other influences that drove the booking.
10:05:26 14	And it is our understanding that
10:05:28 15	the magistrate did not so limit us. You certainly
10:05:32 16	have the right if you want to cut this off.
10:05:35 17	The magistrate also gave all the
10:05:38 18	parties the reservation of right to recall Mr.
10:05:44 19	Aalbers, which we would certainly exercise if we
10:05:48 20	are cut off now on this very limited area of
10:05:52 21	inquiry.
05:54 22	So for the record, we do object.

	k
10:05:58 1	We believe we have the right to inquire into this
10:06:01 2	limited area. And you know, I am going to proceed
10:06:07 3	and reserve my rights accordingly.
10:06:11 4	MR. TUTTLE: I appreciate that.
10:06:13 5	MR. MORSE: Can I make one point?
10:06:14 6	What the Magistrate Judge said that every party
10:06:16 7	has the right to seek to redepose Mr. Aalbers, not
10:06:19 8	the right to do so.
10:06:20 9	MR. HABER: It's understood. But
10:06:22 10	that's exactly what we would do.
6:23 11	MR. MORSE: Okay.
10:06:2912	MR. TUTTLE: Can we go off the
10:06:3113	record for five minutes?
10:06:32 14	MR. HABER: Yes.
10:06:33 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
10:06:34 16	record at 10:06.
10:06:37 17	(Short recess taken)
10:12:11 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Returning to the
10:12:20 19	record at 12:12 from 10:06.
10:12:23 20	BY MR. HABER:
10:12:24 21	Q. Now, Mr. Aalbers, a moment ago we
2:26 22	talked about the scorecard and the effect of the

10:12:30 1	scorecard on EPM.
10:12:31 2	Do you recall if in fact the
10:12:34 3	reserves addition increased EPM's scorecard
10:12:38 4	target?
10:12:38 5	A. It certainly did.
10:12:40 6	Q. And do you recall if that target
10:12:46 7	rating was on target or above on-target?
10:12:50 8	A. I don't recall. This E-mail said
10:12:52 9	it was going to go from below to on target. But I
10:12:56 10	can't remember what the ultimate outcome was,
3:00 11	depending on all the other parameters they
10:13:02 12	changed.
10:13:03 13	Q. During the picture teleconference
10:13:04 14	with Mr. Watts, do you recall discussing the EPM
10:13:07 15	scorecard?
10:13:07 16	A. No, I don't.
10:13:08 17	Q. And I just want, for the record, if
10:13:13 18	you can just take a look at Exhibits A and B for a
10:13:16 19	moment.
10:13:17 20	And just confirm that you in fact
10:13:23 21	have seen these E-mails before today, that you
3:26 22	wrote these E-mails, at least the last one, which

	l
10:13:29 1	is on the first page of each Exhibit?
10:13:37 2	A. Well, yes. That one says that it's
10:13:39 3	from me to John Bell. (Indicating) And this one
10:13:42 4	I have passed on to Hans van Poppel, and also the
10:13:49 5	one before to all the reserve copy points.
10:13:50 6	Q. And when you received a document as
10:13:52 7	a direct recipient or as a copied recipient, do
10:13:55 8	you have a recollection of receiving these E-mails
10:13:58 9	as well.
10:14:02 10	MR. TUTTLE: On B he sent them.
14:04 11	MR. HABER: I am sorry?
10:14:05 12	MR. TUTTLE: On B he sent them.
10:14:06 13	MR. HABER: Well, on B, he did. I
10:14:07 14	am sorry. I was actually looking at A.
10:14:09 15	THE WITNESS: So which one are you
10:14:11 16	pointing out?
10:14:12 17	BY MR. HABER:
10:14:12 18	Q. Let's look at A.
10:14:13 19	A. A, yes.
10:14:15 20	Q. When you were copied, for instance,
10:14:17 21	from John Bell, if you look at the January 23rd
14:20 22	E-mail?

10:14:21 1

10:14:23 2

10:14:27 3

10:14:28 4

10:14:29 5

10:14:30 6

10:14:34 7

10:14:38 8

10:14:40 9

10:14:42 10

10:14:48 12

10:14:51 13

10:14:53 14

10:14:55 15

10:14:58 16

10:15:01 17

10:15:02 18

10:15:02 19

10:15:07 20

10:15:09 21

5:13 22

14:46 11

REMCO AALBERS

Page 32 Well, I mean, I have obviously had Α. the E-mail. I mean, I don't recall as such having received, but obviously had. And I know that we set up the meeting which happened. So the answer would be yes. Ο. Do you recall having any discussions with Anton Barendregt concerning the booking of reserves in Oman? Α. I did have discussions with Yes. Anton on that. He was involved in the booking. One of the discussions with Oman was that they wanted Anton to be involved in whatever changes were made, so that he was happy with them as well. As he also had made remarks in his prior audit that there were some issues with Oman that he would like to see revolved. Do you recall what issues those were? Α. They actually had to do with end-of-license, that wasn't properly reflected.

And I recall something about some of their proved

being somewhat conservative.

Page 33

10:15:16 1	Q. Do you recall if anyone at PDO was
10:15:22 2	pushing back on the amount of reserves that were
10:15:27 3	being advocated by you and Mr. Barendregt?
10:15:30 4	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form,
10:15:31 5	foundation. Mischaracterization of prior
10:15:37 6	testimony.
10:15:37 7	THE WITNESS:
10:15:38 8	A. Well, first of all, the reserves
10:15:39 9	were actually being calculated by Oman. And we
10:15:46 10	weren't actually advocating the reserves as such,
15:48 11	laid down the work. But for the forecast, we
10:15:48 12	worked out jointly a method of coming up with the
10:15:54 13	proved forecasts, which Oman by itself didn't
10:15:56 14	have.
10:15:56 15	(Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit D was
10:15:56 16	marked for identification)
10:15:56 17	BY MR. HABER:
10:16:53 18	Q. Just marked as Aalbers Exhibit D a
10:16:57 19	series of E-mails, the last of which is from
10:17:03 20	Thomas Meijssen. It's dated January 3, 2001, to
10:17:06 21	Anton Barendregt with a CC to Mr. Aalbers, Said

Abri, and Marcus Antonini. The subject reads:

17:12 22

10:17:18 1	"Proved Reserves Visit - Group Resource
10:17:21 2	Co-ordinator." The Bates number is RJW00151703 to
10:17:29 3	RJW00151704.
10:18:31 4	And to save time, I am going to
10:18:34 5	mark another Exhibit so Mr. Aalbers can also look
10:18:37 6	at it, and I will ask a couple of questions.
10:18:59 7	(Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit E was
10:19:00 8	marked for identification)
10:19:01 9	For the record, we just marked as
10:19:02 10	Aalbers Exhibit E a series of E-mails, the last of
19:06 11	which is from Mr. Barendregt.
10:19:0912	It's dated January 4, 2001 to
10:19:13 13	Thomas Meijssen with a CC to Mr. Aalbers, Said
10:19:18 14	Abri, Marcus Antonini. And again, it says the
10:19:22 15	subject line is "Proved Reserves Visit - Group
10:19:25 16	Resource Co-ordinator."
10:19:26 17	The Bates number, and there is two
10:19:29 18	ranges: The first is V00102056 through V00102059.
10:19:42 19	And the other range is OM000205 to OM000208.
10:20:16 20	Okay. Mr. Aalbers, if you look at
10:20:21 21	Exhibit D, which is the one with the charts in the
20:25 22	first page, and if you see the chart, the second

Page 35

chart in the middle of that first page, there is a series of I guess -- I guess you would describe them as ways in which to externally report Proved Reserves.

And in the E-mail from Mr. Meijssen to Mr. Barendregt, in which you are copied, if you look at the bottom, the second to the last paragraph, Mr. Meijssen appears to be saying that what he is most comfortable with is -- and it's looking at the chart -- the proven developed reserves of 40%.

Are you with me so far?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Now, if you look at Exhibit E
 number 5 at the very bottom, it says, "As for your
 proposed volumes to book is externally reported
 Proved Reserves (before they are cut off by
 license expiry) your line 'Proven'" Developed
 Reserves 40%, Undeveloped Reserves 60% (347
 million cubic meters developed reserves and 254
 undeveloped reserves) "seems the best one to aim
 for."

11:19 11 10:21:27 12 10:21:28 13 10:21:29 14 10:21:33 15 10:21:42 16 10:21:45 17 10:21:45 17 10:21:56 19 10:22:05 20 10:22:08 21 12:12 22

10:20:28 1

10:20:33 2

10:20:42 3

10:20:49 4

10:20:49 5

10:20:57 6

10:21:01 7

10:21:05 8

10:21:10 9

10:21:17 10

		Page	36
10:22:15 1	During this time period		
10:22:19 2	A. Mm-Hmm.		
10:22:20 3	Q do you recall Mr. Meijssen or		
10:22:23 4	anyone at PDO pushing back against what is what		
10:22:31 5	I just read into the record from Exhibit E, and		
10:22:37 6	instead advocating what Mr. Meijssen says in that		
10:22:40 7	paragraph on Exhibit D?		
10:22:43 8	MR. TUTTLE: I am going to object to		
10:22:45 9	the characterization of the document and the form		
10:22:47 10	of the question.		
22:48 11	You can answer.		
10:22:51 12	THE WITNESS:		
10:22:52 13	A. I can't remember. I mean, we had a		
10:22:57 14	discussion at the time on what the right way of		
10:22:59 15	reporting the Oman reserves were, and this is part		
10:23:02 16	of that communication.		
10:23:02 17	I don't recall if there was anybody		
10:23:08 18	specifically pushing for something else.		
10:23:10 19	Thomas suggested something here,		
10:23:13 20	Anton here comes up with a different		
10:23:15 21	recommendation, so we there is communication on		
23:19 22	the subject at the time. And eventually, we		

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

Page 37 10:23:22 1 settled on a agreed method. 10:23:25 2 BY MR. HABER: 10:23:25 3 Q. And was that agreed method the one 10:23:27 4 that Mr. Barendreqt wrote in Exhibit E? 10:23:29 5 I believe it is. But I am not 100% Α. 10:23:38 6 sure, but I think that is what we ultimately used. 10:23:40 7 Ο. Did anyone at this time from PDO 10:23:45 8 advise you that there wasn't sufficient technical 10:23:48 9 work to support the booking or what was being 10:23:52 10 strived for as reflected in Exhibit E? 23:56 11 MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form. 10:23:57 12 Foundation. 10:24:02 13 THE WITNESS: 10:24:02 14 No, I don't recall that. I mean, 10:24:04 15 there was technical word done to look at the 10:24:08 16 different fields and to, on a field-by-field 10:24:10 17 basis, come up with an estimate on how to come up 10:24:13 18 with a Proved Reserves within the limited manpower 10:24:16 19 that Oman was allowed to spend on it. 10:24:24 20 BY MR. HABER: 10:24:24 21 0. Now, did this technical work allow £4:26 22 for a field-by-field analysis?

10:24:28 1	A. They viewed all the individual
10:24:34 2	fields. At the moment, I don't know in what
10:24:38 3	detail every field was individually viewed, but
10:24:42 4	they certainly looked at all the fields.
10:24:43 5	Q. Do you recall, in connection with
10:24:44 6	the analysis that is advocated in Exhibit E by Mr.
10:24:49 7	Barendregt, if only the largest fields were
10:24:55 8	analyzed?
10:24:56 9	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.
10:24:57 10	Characterization of the document.
25:00 11	THE WITNESS:
10:25:00 12	A. Can you repeat that question once
10:25:07 13	more?
10:25:08 14	BY MR. HABER:
10:25:08 15	Q. Sure. Let me ask it I will
10:25:12 16	rephrase. Let me ask a different question.
10:25:15 17	Do you recall if PDO was able to
10:25:20 18	analyze only the largest fields? And by
10:25:24 19	"analyze," I mean do the technical review work?
10:25:27 20	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.
10:25:28 21	Foundation.
25:30 22	THE WITNESS:

Page 39 10:25:30 1 They certainly would have looked Α. 10:25:32 2 more closely at the larger fields, those obviously 10:25:37 3 being the most important ones. Whether or not all 10:25:40 4 the smaller ones were all looked in the same 10:25:43 5 detail, I don't recall. 10:25:43 6 BY MR. HABER: 10:25:43 7 0. Do you recall how many fields there 10:25:45 8 were in Oman at the time? 10:25:47 9 Α. No, I don't. Quite a few. 10:25:50 10 Ο. Would there be more than 1,000? 25:52 11 Α. More than 1,000 fields? No, I 10:25:59 12 don't think so. 10:25:59 13 More than a hundred? Q. 10:26:02 14 Well, I am not going to be 10:26:04 15 guessing. I have no idea. 10:26:05 16 Okay. One last document. 0. 10:26:26 17 (Whereupon, Aalbers Exhibit F was 10:26:28 18 marked for identification) 10:26:39 19 We have just marked and the witness 10:26:40 20 is reviewing Aalbers Exhibit F. 10:26:43 21 It's a series of E-mails, the last £6:45 22 of which is from Mr. Aalbers to Thomas Meijssen

10:26:49 1	with CC to Said Abri. It's dated 01-02, January				
10:26:54 2	2, 2001.				
10:26:56 3	Again, this is a document that was				
10:26:57 4	produced on a native drive. And there is a				
10:27:03 5	summation identification number which is				
10:27:12 6	101400005.				
10:27:12 7	And I will note for the record that				
10:27:16 8	on the bottom of the document, there is a footer,				
10:27:19 9	which is a file path which is not part of the				
10:27:23 10	document that came from our printer. It's a				
27:28 11	default setting. And for the record, this is not				
10:27:32 12	again part of the document as it was produced.				
10:28:2913	(Pause)				
10:28:30 14	Mr. Aalbers, my question is only				
10:28:32 15	going to be with regard to the E-mail that you				
10:28:34 16	sent to Mr. Meijssen on the first page.				
10:28:38 17	A. Mm-Hmm.				
10:28:39 18	Q. Do you recall this document before				
10:28:41 19	today?				
10:28:44 20	A. I have obviously sent it, but not				
10:28:47 21	specifically.				
28:47 22	Q. What I am interested in is the last				

		rage 4.
10:28:51 1	sentence of that E-mail where you say, "Hope to	
10:28:54 2	see a significant increase in proved reserves for	
10:28:57 3	PDO for 2000".	
10:28:58 4	Why did you write that?	
10:29:00 5	A. Why did I write that?	
10:29:03 6	MR. TUTTLE: Objection to form.	
10:29:04 7	THE WITNESS:	
10:29:05 8	A. Because we had been working very	
10:29:07 9	hard with Oman to correct sort of the	
10:29:09 10	understatement of Proved Reserves as we saw at the	
29:13 11	time. And you can see in the statement here they	
10:29:16 12	have had fields where they had negative reserves.	
10:29:18 13	And they only sort of update the	. 1
10:29:20 14	proof when they sort of run out of proof. So it	· .
10:29:23 15	hasn't had significant attention over the last	
10:29:25 16	couple of years, and we are trying to get that	
10:29:27 17	resolved and get to a better booking this year	
10:29:30 18	better reflecting what we at that time perceived	
10:29:36 19	to be the reserves for Oman.	
10:29:40 20	MR. HABER: Okay. Well, that's all	
10:29:41 21	I have for this. Again, subject to the court's	
9:44 22	ruling, nothing further.	

Page 42 10:29:46 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 10:29:49 2 MR. TUTTLE: Thank you. 10:29:50 3 MR. HABER: Thank you very much, 10:29:51 4 Mr. Aalbers. 10:29:52 5 MR. GOLDSTEIN: No further 10:29:54 6 questions. 10:29:54 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends the 10:29:55 8 videotaped deposition of Remco Aalbers at 10:29 10:29:58 9 a.m. on February 19, 2007. This is the end of 10:30:04 10 tape 1. 11 (Whereupon the deposition was 12 concluded at 10:29 a.m.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

		Page	44			
1	I, Remco Aalbers, am a deponent in the		ļ			
2	foregoing video deposition. I have read the					
3	foregoing video deposition, and having made such					
4	changes and corrections as I desired, I certify					
5	that the transcript is a true and accurate record					
6	of my responses to the questions put to me on					
7	Monday, February 19, 2007.					
8						
9						
0						
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
0						
1	Signed					
2	REMCO AALBERS					

$\overline{}$	_	_	_	1	_
Ρ	а	a	\leftarrow	- 4	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
2	I, Frederick Weiss, CSR, CM, do hereby
3	certify that I took the stenotype notes of the
4	foregoing deposition and that the transcript
5	thereof is a true and accurate record transcribed
. 6	to the best of my skill and ability.
7	I further certify that I am neither
8 '	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
9	the parties to the action in which this deposition
LO	was taken, and that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by
12	the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise
13	interested in the outcome of the action.
14	
15	
16	
17	Frederick C Wein
18	FREDERICK WEISS, CSR, CM
19	
20	
21	23 FEB 2007

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS
(800) 325-3376 www.MerrillCorp.com

22

DATE

	1			
\mathbf{A}	ago 29:21	attention 41:15	Bley 6:3	chart 34:22 35:1
Aalbers 1:9 3:15	agreed 19:4 37:1	attorney 45:11	Bly 3:16	35:10
4:3,10,11,12,13	37:3	audit 18:13 32:15	book 22:19 23:1	charts 34:21
4:15,15,18 5:4,5	agreement 10:7,7	auditors 18:8	23:10 35:16	chatter 9:17,17
5:7,8 6:10 7:19	10:8,13,17,17	Avenue 2:20 3:4	bookable 22:16	Civ 1:2
8:3,14,18 10:2,6	10:18 27:3,5	6:5	booking 10:5,9,13	Civil 6:14
11:3 14:5,10,11	28:5	a.m 1:11 6:7 42:9	10:19 19:12,14	class 2:2 6:21 7:2
14:19 17:4,6,7,9	ahead 26:11	42:12	19:16,19 20:17	clear 22:2
17:15 24:13,19	Aidan 4:14 17:10	12.12	23:21 26:14	clearance 18:13
25:3 27:3,7	21:7	B	28:11,13 32:8	closed 8:12 18:9
28:19 29:7,21	aim 35:21	B 4:12 17:3,4,7,15	32:10 37:9	closely 39:2
33:15,18,21	Alastair 3:12 7:9	30:18 31:10,12	41:17	CM 1:22 45:2,18
34:5,7,10,13,20	allow 37:21	31:13	bottom 18:5 35:7	Cohen 2:4 6:22
39:17,20,22	allowed 37:19	back 11:18 33:2	35:15 40:8	6:22
40:14 42:4,8	amount 33:2	36:4	Boynton 3:2 7:11	collective 21:13
40.14 42.4,8	analysis 37:22	Barendregt 4:16	Brass 4:11 9:8,15	come 19:12 20:11
ability 45:6	38:6	4:19 5:5 24:21	9:16,20	20:14 37:17,17
able 38:17	analyze 38:18,19	32:7 33:3,21	brief 18:21	comes 36:20
Abri 5:8 33:22	analyzed 38:8	34:11 35:6 37:4	bring 20:8	comfortable 35:9
34:14 40:1	Andrew 4:16	38:7	Brown 3:8 7:13	coming 8:20
accompanied 7:8	24:20 25:6,7	based 28:7	7:16 12:1,3	11:18 33:12
accountants 2:18	answer 9:16 10:1	basically 13:4	7.10 12.1,5	Commencing
3:11,12 7:7	10:4 17:20 21:1	19:4,17 20:10	C	1:11
accurate 44:5	22:10 27:15	21:2	C 2:1,9 3:1 4:15	comment 11:13
45:5	32:5 36:11	basis 37:17	24:13	communication
action 6:4,14 45:9	answered 22:8	Bates 4:19 5:6	calculated 33:9	12:10 36:16,21
45:13	Anton 4:16,19 5:5	14:15 24:18	Called 8:15	communications
actual 16:16 20:9	24:21 32:7,10	34:2,17	cases 6:15	12:12 15:15,18
added 13:12	32:12 33:21	Battery 2:16	CC 17:9 24:21	company 21:5,14
addition 26:15	36:20	Bea 4:13 17:9	33:21 34:13	21:16 22:3
30:3	Antonini 33:22	bearing 4:19 5:6	40:1	concern 9:5
additional 26:14	34:14	beer 9:19	centrally 24:8	concerning 12:16
26:19	anybody 36:17	begins 18:13	CEO 18:16	15:15 26:2 32:7
additions 20:19	anyway 11:17	behalf 2:2,7,14,18	certainly 12:19	concluded 42:12
21:18	appears 25:17	3:2,6 6:20 7:1,4	28:15,19 30:5	conference 6:8
adjusts 16:3	35:8	7:7,11,13,18 8:1	38:4 39:1	14:1
Adler 2:15 7:3,3	appreciate 11:18	beholder 11:11	CERTIFICATE	confirm 30:20
Adriaen 3:7 7:15	29:4	believe 24:2 28:2	45:1	confirmation
advise 37:8	area 28:20 29:2	29:1 37:5	certify 44:4 45:3	25:21
advised 28:8	areas 19:15	bell 4:11,11 14:11	45:7	connection 20:16
advised 28.8 advisor 25:8	ARPR 12:11,16	23:19 31:3,21	change 23:22	38:5
advocated 33:3	13:17 15:15	Bernstein 2:4	changed 26:7	consent 28:5
38:6	17:12	3:14 6:20 7:1	30:12	consented 26:19
advocating 33:10	asked 9:3 11:4	best 35:21 45:6	changes 26:15	27:19
36:6	12:7 22:7	better 20:3 41:17	32:12 44:4	conservative
afraid 11:12	aspect 27:22	41:18	characterization	32:22
	<u>.</u>	beyond 27:17	36:9 38:10	<i>5 2.0 2</i>

	1	1	<u> </u>	
consistent 28:1	34:12 40:1	31:6 36:9 38:10	13:12	face-to-face 13:16
consolidated 6:15	dates 5:8	39:16 40:3,8,10	eventually 36:22	fact 30:2,20
context 25:17	David 2:9 7:17	40:12,18	Eward 4:11	fairly 18:21
continued 3:1 5:1	days 27:6	Dominique 15:5,9	exact 16:20	far 27:17 35:12
5:2	de 9:5,9	drive 14:14 24:17	exactly 29:10	February 1:11
conversation 9:7	deal 10:8,18	40:4	Examination 1:8	6:6 9:6 16:5
copied 12:18 31:7	Debevoise 2:9	drove 28:13	4:4,5,5 8:17	17:10,22 18:3,5
31:20 35:6	7:17 8:1	Dueck 4:16 24:20	11:1	42:9 44:7
copy 4:10,13,15	default 40:11	25:6,7,11 26:2	ExCom 9:10	feel 22:5,18 27:16
4:18 5:5,7 12:6	Defendants 2:7	duly 8:15	12:20 13:1,6,9	field 20:9 38:3
31:5	8:2	Dutch/Shell 1:4	16:15 21:13	fields 37:16 38:2
core 25:21	depending 30:11	2:12 6:12 7:18	exercise 28:19	38:4,7,18 39:2,7
correct 15:7 28:3	deponent 3:15 4:2	D.C 2:10 3:9	Exhibit 4:8,9,10	39:11 41:12
41:9	44:1		4:12,15,18 5:2,3	field-by-field
CORRECTION	deposed 8:20	E	5:4,7 14:5,10,19	37:16,22
43:2	deposition 1:7	E 2:1,1 3:1,1,1,3	17:3,4,7,15	file 40:9
corrections 44:4	6:10 22:8 26:20	4:1 5:1,4 34:7	24:13 31:1	final 16:10
correspondence	27:18 28:2 42:8	34:10 35:14	33:15,18 34:5,7	finalised 17:13
12:16	42:11 44:2,3	36:5 37:4,10	34:10,21 35:14	finalized 16:5,15
Corson 2:19 7:6,6	45:4,9	38:6	36:5,7 37:4,10	16:17 17:2,17
counsel 2:13 6:17	Derek 2:15 7:3	Earl 2:12 7:20	38:6 39:17,20	17:21
45:8,11	describe 35:2	early 10:5,10,20	Exhibits 24:16	finance 25:7
couple 34:6 41:16	desired 44:4	17:22	30:18	financial 13:14
course 22:12	detail 38:3 39:5	East 2:5 3:4 24:10	existence 27:2	financially 45:12
court 1:1 3:17	developed 35:10	effect 25:19 26:2	expectation 20:6	find 20:11 22:18
6:13 28:9 45:1	35:18,20	26:17 29:22	20:13	finish 26:12
court's 41:21	different 36:20	either 14:17	expiry 35:18	firm 7:5 12:4
covered 19:20	37:16 38:16	22:15	explore 27:4,5,22	first 31:1 33:8
27:11	direct 31:7	emotional 9:19	external 18:13	34:18,22 35:1
Co-ordinator	directly 12:17	employed 45:8,11	externally 35:3	40:16
34:2,16	18:16	employee 45:11	35:16	five 29:13
CSR 1:22 45:2,18	disagree 26:20	ends 42:7	eye 11:10	Five-page 4:15
cubic 35:20	28:4	end-of-license	E-mail 4:10,13,16	focus 20:4
cut 28:16,20	discuss 11:22	32:20	4:18 5:5,7 12:15	focused 20:5,13
35:17	discussed 11:19	enter 10:12	12:18 18:6	Foley 3:3 7:11
cut-off 20:14	18:20 19:4,8,11	EP 9:10 18:16	24:19 25:4,11	follow 27:1
D	19:13	21:14 FDM 24:10 25:9	25:16 26:4 27:8	follows 8:16
D 4:1,18 5:1	discussing 30:14	EPM 24:10 25:8	30:8 31:22 32:2	footer 40:8
33:15,18 34:21	discussion 13:2	25:19 26:3,6 30:1 14	35:5 40:15 41:1	forecast 33:11
36:7	21:7 36:14	30:1,14 FDM's 20:2	E-mails 4:15	forecasts 20:12
data 15:18 20:10	discussions 26:2	EPM's 30:3	14:10 17:8	33:13
date 6:6 16:20	32:7,9,11	ERRATA 43:1	24:16 30:21,22	foregoing 44:2,3
43:22 45:22	District 1:1,1	ESQUIRE 2:3,4	31:8 33:19	45:4
dated 4:17 14:12	6:13,13	2:8,9,12,15,19	34:10 39:21	form 10:8,18
17:10 24:22	document 14:9,13	3:3,7,7 estimate 37:17	$oldsymbol{F}$	17:18 20:20
25:15 33:20	14:17 17:7 18:4			21:20 23:3 33:4
43.13 33.40		estimates 13:6,8	F 5:7 39:17,20	

36:9 37:11 38:9	_			
1 35'0 37'11 38'01 1	37:2,20 38:14	individual 26.0	1	1
38:20 41:6	39:6 41:20 42:3	individual 26:9 38:1	Judge 29:6	3:8,14 7:11,18
foundation 20:21			Judith 3:2 7:11	located 6:4
21:21 23:4 33:5	Hague 1:13,14 6:9 9:10 15:10	individually 38:3	J.T 2:15	London 15:11
37:12 38:21	24:7	influences 28:13	K	look 18:5 20:8
fourth 18:2		information 13:2		22:3 30:18
1	half 9:8	inquire 29:1	K3:8	31:18,21 34:5
Four-page 5:5 Frederick 1:22	handed 24:15	inquiry 28:21	Kersley 25:13,14	34:20 35:7,14
	Handing 14:7	instance 31:20	knew 21:8	37:15
3:17 45:2,18	handshake 9:21	instruct 27:14	know 23:15 26:20	looked 20:2 21:7
full 18:2	10:1,1,4,7,8,17	interested 40:22	27:20 28:5 29:2	22:13 38:4 39:1
further 41:22	10:18	45:13	32:3 38:2	39:4
42:5 45:7	Hans 31:4	introduce 6:17	KPMG 2:18 3:11	looking 14:9
G	happened 32:4	INVESTIGAT	3:12 7:7,9	20:18 21:5
Gardy 15:6,8,9	happy 19:2,5 27:4	3:13	Kursey 25:12	31:14 35:10
getting 21:3	27:22 32:13	involved 20:7	L	Lorin 4:11 9:7
getting 21:3 get-together 9:19	hard 14:14 41:9	32:10,12	laid 33:11	lots 9:19
	Hartson 2:19 7:7	involvement		
give 27:12,21	head 12:19	19:18	Lardner 3:3 7:11	<u> </u>
go 26:11 29:12	headed 13:4	in-house 2:13	larger 39:2	M 2:2 3:7 6:21
30:9	helped 19:16	7:20	largest 38:7,18	7:2
	hereto 45:12	issued 5:8	latest 13:6,8,11	magistrate 27:18
19:5 22:15	Hogan 2:19 7:7	issues 19:22 21:8	latitude 27:21	28:8,15,17 29:6
	Hon 1:2.	27:10 32:15,17	Laughter 11:14	manpower 37:18
1 20 14 40 15 1	Honorable 6:15		lawyer 12:1	Marcus 33:22
	hope 11:8 41:1	J	lawyers 8:4	34:14
	Hubbard 2:15 7:4	J 3:13	lead 2:2 6:21 7:2	mark 17:3 34:5
	Hughes 2:15 7:3	January 4:11 5:8	Leen 3:11 7:8	marked 14:6,9
	hundred 39:13	13:4,20 14:12	left 9:9	17:5,7 24:14
Goldstein's 12:4	Hunter 3:12 7:9	24:22 25:15	legal 11:15	33:16,18 34:8,9
good 19:1		31:21 33:20	LegalLink 6:3	39:18,19
government 20:6	<u> </u>	34:12 40:1	Let's 31:18	matter 6:11
1	idea 39:15	JAP 1:2 6:14	Lexington 6:4	Maw 3:8 7:13
	identification	Jeffery 11:3	license 35:18	12:3
34:15	14:6 17:5 24:14	Jeffrey 2:3 6:19	licenses 20:15	Mayer 3:8 7:13
guess 21:12 27:12	33:16 34:8	Jersey 1:1 6:14	Liebhard 2:4	7:15 12:1,3
35:2,2	39:18 40:5	Jespers 4:13	3:14 6:20 7:1	McKay 4:14
	identify 8:7	17:10	Lifshitz 2:4 3:14	17:10 21:7
	imagine 9:18	Joe 8:18	6:20 7:1	mean 13:21 14:22
TT 1 0045	impact 26:10	Joel 1:2 6:15	limit 28:15	18:22 21:2,15
1 (10 10 0 10 10 1	important 39:3	Johannes 4:13	limited 28:20	22:15 24:10
1 11 1 2 1 / 17 2	include 28:12	17:9	29:2 37:18	26:4,13 32:1,2
11:1,3,16 17:3	increase 25:2,18	John 4:11,11	line 9:12,13 17:12	36:13 37:14
17:19 20:22	26:3 41:2	14:11 31:3,21	20:9 25:1 34:15	38:19
22:4,9 23:7,20	increased 19:16	jointly 33:12	35:18	meant 26:6
26:18 28:3 29:9	30:3	Jonathan 2:8	Litigation 1:5	measures 25:22
1 21 12 15 22 15	INDEX 4:8 5:2	7:22	6:12	26:9,16
31:13,17 33:17	Indicating 31:3	Joseph 3:7 7:12	LLP 2:4,9,19 3:3	meet 21:19 22:5

23:22	2:16,20 6:5,5,14	32:15 33:9,13	Pauline 4:11	Pricewaterhous
meeting 16:18	Nicholas 2:19 7:6	36:15 37:19	Pause 14:18	2:14 7:5
24:11 32:4	normally 16:14	39:8 41:9,19	17:14 40:13	printer 40:10
meetings 13:16	16:14,17,20	OM000205 34:19	PC 15:12	prior 15:13 16:16
15:14	Nos 4:19 5:6	OM000208 34:19	PDO 23:22 24:2	17:22 18:13
Meijssen 4:17,19	note 13:1,9 15:16	OM00205 5:6	33:1 36:4 37:7	19:21 22:8
5:5,8 33:20	26:18 27:20	OM00208 5:6	38:17 41:3	27:11 32:15
34:13 35:5,8	40:7	once 38:12	PEITLER 3:13	33:5
36:3,6 39:22	notes 45:3	ones 39:3,4	people 11:9 18:6	privy 28:4
40:16	notice 27:13	on-target 30:7	22:22 23:9	probably 16:22
members 9:10	number 6:14	operating 10:14	perceived 41:18	19:11
mentioned 9:20	14:15,17 18:6	operator 6:3	period 11:5 36:1	proceed 29:2
meters 35:20	34:2,17 35:15	ORAL 1:8	permitted 27:18	PROCEEDINGS
method 33:12	40:5	order 27:1	Peter 2:2 6:21 7:2	6:1
37:1,3	numbers 13:13	outcome 22:17	Phil 8:19 10:1	process 13:17
microphones	13:14 15:22	30:10 45:13	18:16 19:1,4	produced 14:13
16:3	16:2,9,9,10,12	outside 20:15	Philip 3:6 7:14,16	14:14 24:17
middle 24:10	16:14 17:1,16	OUTSTANDING	picture 14:1,20	40:4,12
35:1	19:5 24:18	25:2	14:22 15:4,13	production 20:9
million 35:20	NY 2:20	overall 25:20	19:9 30:13	pronounced
Milwaukee 3:4	N.V 2:18 3:11,12	26:17	Pisano 1:2 6:16	24:21
minutes 29:13	7:8	owned 24:8	plaintiff 2:2 6:21	proof 41:14,14
Mischaracteriz	N.W 2:10 3:8		7:2	proper 20:14
33:5		P	Plaza 2:16	properly 32:20
Mm-Hmm 36:2	<u>O</u>	P 2:1,1 3:1,1	please 6:17 9:1	proposed 35:16
40:17	object 20:20	package 13:13	Plimpton 2:9	proved 4:14,16
moment 29:21	27:14 28:22	page 4:4,9 5:3	7:18 8:1	4:19 16:5 17:13
30:19 38:2	36:8	9:12,12 18:10	point 29:5	18:1,15 20:3,8
Monday 1:11	objection 17:18	18:12 25:9 31:1	pointing 31:16	20:12 24:2 25:1
44:7	21:20 22:7 23:3	34:22 35:1	points 27:10 31:5	26:15 32:21
monthly 13:11	23:16 33:4	40:16 43:2	Poppel 4:13 17:9	33:13 34:1,15
Morse 3:7 7:15	37:11 38:9,20	painful 11:8	31:4	35:3,17 37:18
7:15 29:5,11	41:6	paragraph 18:12	position 28:8	41:2,10
moving 25:20	obviously 26:7	25:16 35:8 36:7	possibility 27:5	proven 35:10,18
Muzenstraat 1:14	32:1,3 39:2	parameters 30:11	possible 12:17	provided 12:6
N	40:20	Park 2:16	27:21	pushing 21:18
	October 4:17	part 13:10 19:21	possibly 25:20	33:2 36:4,18
N 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	oil 21:4 22:2	21:6 24:8 36:15	potential 27:3	put 44:6
name 8:18 11:3	Okay 29:11 34:20	40:9,12	preliminary	
native 14:14	39:16 41:20	participated 15:3	16:14 17:1	Q
24:17 40:4 near 15:11	42:1	participating	present 3:10	quarter 18:2
near 15:11 negative 41:12	Oman 10:5,10,20	13:22 14:20	press 16:16	quarterly 18:1
negative 41:12 neither 45:7	19:8 20:1,1,2,5	parties 28:18	pressure 22:5,18	question 9:15,22
Netherlands 1:15	20:19 21:7	45:9,12	23:1,10 28:12	10:3 12:9 23:6
6:9	22:19 23:2,11 23:21 25:18	party 29:6	pretty 19:1	23:17 36:10
New 1:1 2:5,5,16		passed 31:4 path 40:9	previously 11:6	38:12,16 40:14
146W 1.1 4.3,3,10	26:14 32:8,11	paul 40.9	·	questions 8:22,22

9:3,4 11:2,5	Reed 7:4	reporting 36:15	RJW00151703	session 18:22
12:7 27:2,9,17	reference 9:20	represent 8:19	4:19 34:2	set 21:10,12,13
34:6 42:6 44:6	27:9	reservation 28:18	RJW00151704	32:4
quite 9:19 39:9	referring 16:12	reserve 29:3 31:5	34:3	setting 40:11
1	24:5 25:18	reserves 4:14,16	role 20:16	settled 37:1
R	reflected 18:5	4:19 5:8 10:9,14	room 6:8 11:14	Shell 2:7 7:21 8:1
R 2:1,4,8 3:1	32:20 37:10	10:19 12:20,22	Rowe 3:8 7:13	9:9 10:14 14:15
range 34:19	reflecting 41:18	13:3 15:16,20	12:3	Short 29:17
ranges 34:18	refresh 14:19	16:4,5,18 17:13	Royal 1:4 2:12	shrink 21:16
rating 30:7	17:16	18:1,15 19:1	6:11 7:18	Sic 25:12
ratio 21:4,11	regard 12:10 28:5	20:3,4,6,8,17,19	RRR 21:11	side 15:12
rationale 28:11	40:15	21:3,11,15,18	ruling 41:22	sign 19:5
read 9:11 36:5	regarding 4:14,16	22:1,3,12,15,19	run 41:14	Signature 43:22
44:2	4:19 5:8 10:9,13	23:1,10,21 24:3		signed 17:2 44:21
Reading 9:14	10:19 27:2	25:2,18 26:3,9	S	significance 10:3
reads 17:12 25:1	region 24:8,10	26:14,15 30:3	S 2:1 3:1	significant 19:12
33:22	26:6	32:8 33:2,8,10	save 34:4	19:14 41:2,15
Rebecca 2:4 3:3	regulators 22:22	34:1,15 35:4,11	saw 41:10	Sir 3:6 7:13,16
6:22 7:10	23:9	35:17,19,19,20	saying 35:8	skill 45:6
recall 11:4,7	related 10:4 45:8	35:21 36:15	says 25:17 31:2	smaller 39:4
12:14 13:10,22	relative 45:10	37:18 41:2,10	34:14 35:15	somewhat 32:22
15:17 16:4	release 16:16	41:12,19	36:6	sorry 8:6 24:9
18:19 19:3,6,8	remaining 25:21	resolve 21:9	scope 27:16 28:1	25:14 31:11,14
19:10,13 26:1	remarks 32:14	resolved 41:17	score 26:15	sort 9:17 20:9
28:18 30:2,6,8	Remco 1:9 3:15	Resource 34:1,16	scorecard 22:2	21:4,13 41:9,13
30:14 32:2,6,17	4:3,10,13,15 5:5	responses 44:6	24:1,3,4,6,9,11	41:14
32:21 33:1 36:3	5:7 6:10 7:19	result 19:17 26:5	25:19 26:3,6,7,8	speaking 6:3
36:17 37:14	8:14 10:2 42:8	26:8	26:16,17 29:22	specific 13:18
38:5,17 39:5,7	44:1,22	results 18:2 19:2	30:1,3,15	27:2
40:18	remember 12:19	Returning 29:18	scorecards 24:9	specifically 19:11
received 12:21	14:22 16:20	review 18:8 19:21	27:10	22:12 36:18
31:6 32:3	30:10 36:13	21:6 38:19	second 9:8 25:9	40:21
receiving 31:8	renew 23:16	reviewed 18:15	34:22 35:7	specifics 19:3
recess 29:17	repeat 23:5 38:12	reviewing 39:20	section 9:12	spend 37:19
recipient 31:7,7	rephrase 9:1	revolved 32:16	Securities 1:5	statement 41:11
recollection 14:20	38:16	re-asked 23:17	6:12	states 1:1 6:13
15:5 17:16 31:8	replace 21:15	re-deposition	see 22:16 32:16	26:5
recommendation	replacement 21:4	28:6	34:22 41:2,11	stenotype 45:3
36:21	21:11	Richard 3:16 6:3	seek 29:7	Steve 25:13
record 6:7 8:12	report 20:3 35:3	right 23:14 28:16	seen 25:3 30:21	Steven 3:13 25:12
14:8 17:6 26:19	reported 1:22	28:18 29:1,7,8	sent 31:10,12	Street 2:5,10 3:8
26:22 28:22	12:11,20 13:1,5	36:14	40:16,20	string 4:10,13,18
29:13,16,19	13:6,9,20 16:15	rights 29:3	sentence 41:1	5:5,7
30:17 34:9 36:5	20:10 35:16	ring 23:19	series 11:5 14:10	strived 37:10
40:7,11 44:5	Reporter 3:17	RJW001151705	24:16 33:19	subject 17:12
45:5	45:1	4:20	34:10 35:2	25:1,21 33:22
redepose 29:7			39:21	,

				Page
34:15 36:22	27:18	turn 18:10 25:9	16:3 29:15,18	7.10.10
41:21	testified 8:16 16:8	Tuttle 2:8 7:22,22	42:7	7:10,10 wide 27:21
submission 17:22	testimony 9:5	8:9,11 11:10,20	videotape 6:9	Wisconsin 3:4
submitted 15:16	11:19,22 19:21	17:18 20:20	videotaped 1:7	witness 2:7 7:19
15:19,20,22	22:8 27:11 33:6	21:20 22:7 23:3	42:8	1 2
16:9	thank 8:20 10:22	23:16 26:11,18	viewed 38:1,3	8:2,4,8,10,11,15
sufficient 37:8	25:14 42:1,2,3	29:4,12 31:10	Vijver 9:6,9	11:12 14:7,8
suggest 15:2	thereof 45:5	31:12 33:4 36:8	visit 9:9 34:1,15	21:22 23:5,18 24:15 26:13
suggested 18:22	think 13:11 14:3	37:11 38:9,20	volumes 35:16	27:14 31:15
36:19	15:10,22 16:13	41:6 42:2	V00102056 34:18	33:7 36:12
summation 14:17	16:22 19:20	two 17:8 18:12	V00102059 34:18	37:13 38:11,22
40:5	22:11,14 23:12	24:16 27:6	7 00102037 34.10	39:19 41:7 42:1
support 37:9	24:20 37:6	34:17	W	Wood 2:2 6:21
sure 8:8 9:2 14:4	39:12	two-day 11:5	waiting 8:5	7:2
15:1 23:8 26:21	third 2:20 16:21	Two-page 4:13	Walter 9:5	word 37:15
37:6 38:15	Thomas 4:17,18	5:7	want 8:7,19 9:2	work 33:11 37:9
surprise 22:21	5:5,8 33:20	typically 20:4,12	12:9 21:15	37:21 38:19
23:8	34:13 36:19		26:11,21 27:12	worked 33:12
surprised 23:13	39:22	<u> </u>	28:16 30:17	working 41:8
swear 8:8,10,11	thought 11:9	ultimate 30:10	wanted 28:10	write 41:4,5
sworn 8:15	Three-page 4:10	ultimately 37:6	32:12	wrote 30:22 37:4
	4:18	underlying 28:1	Ware 2:9 7:17,17	W.C 2:19
T	time 6:7 9:18	28:10	Washington 2:10	
take 6:9 30:18	10:10,20 23:10	understand 8:22	3:9	<u>X</u>
taken 1:10,12	25:8 34:4 36:1	9:2 16:11	wasn't 11:8 15:1	X 4:1 5:1
29:17 45:10	36:14,22 37:7	understanding	21:2 32:20 37:8	
talk 9:16 16:2	39:8 41:11,18	20:18 21:17	Watts 3:6 7:14,16	Y
talked 29:22	today 7:8 8:2,20	28:7,14	8:19 10:2,9,13	year 13:5,18 14:3
talking 13:19	11:18,20 12:7	understatement	10:19 12:10,13	18:2 19:1,17,22
tape 42:10	25:4 30:21	41:10	12:16 13:16	21:9 41:17
target 21:3,10,12	40:19	understood 29:9	14:2 15:8,10,15	years 41:16
21:14,19 22:6	Today's 6:6	undeveloped	18:16 20:18	York 2:5,5,16,16
22:12 24:1	told 22:22,22 23:9	35:19,21	21:18 27:4,9	2:20 6:5,5
25:21 30:4,6,7,9 targets 24:12	top 12:19	unfortunately	30:14	Z
technical 37:8,15	total 13:12	14:16	way 20:11 21:16	Zurich 1:13
37:21 38:19	Tower 1:13	unit 10:14	24:20 36:14	Zurich 1:15
teleconference	transcribed 45:5	United 1:1 6:13	ways 20:2 35:3	0
14:1,21 15:4,14	transcript 9:11	update 41:13	WB 1:14	01-02 40:1
15:21 18:18,20	44:5 45:4	U.K 7:5	Weed 2:12 7:20	04-3749 1:2 6:14
19:9 28:9 30:13	Transport 1:5	v	7:20	4-4 manage 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
telephone 2:6,11	6:12 7:19	van 4:13 9:5,9	Weiss 1:22 3:17	1
2:17,21 3:5,9	tried 21:9 27:21	17:9 31:4	45:2,18	1 42:10
15:1	true 44:5 45:5	•1 (04444	went 20:1	1,000 39:10,11
tell 9:1 10:16	trying 16:11 22:3	44:2,3	weren't 16:1	1/1/2001 17:13
term 11:15	41:16 Tuesday 16:21		33:10 WI 3:4	10 2:5
terms 24:11 27:9	Tuesday 16:21	3:16 6:2 8:3,6	Wickhem 3:3	10:06 29:16,19
			vvickiem 5:5	

				Page '
10:29 42:8,12	3			
100% 14:4 21:3				
21:10,19 37:5	3 33:20			
10004 2:16	33 4:18			
10016 2:5	34 5:4			
10010 2:3	347 35:19			
•	383-8124 2:11			
101400005 40:6	39 5:7			
12:12 29:19				
13th 2:10	4			
14 4:10	4 34:12			
1482 2:16	40th 2:5	Ĭ		·
17 4:5,12	40% 35:11,19			
18 9:12	414 3:5			
19 1:11 42:9 44:7	420 6:4			
19th 6:6	422-4726 2:17			
1909 3:8		İ	·	
	5			
2	5 9:13 17:10		1	
2 5:8 18:5 40:2	35:15		1	
2nd 9:6	517 9:12	,	*	
2000 4:17 10:5,10	518 9:13			
10:20 12:11	53202-5306 3:4			
13:19 15:1 18:2	555 2:10	·		
41:3	333 2.10			
20004 2:10	6			
20006-1101 3:9	60% 35:19			
2000 4:11 5:9	00 70 33.19			
12:12 13:4,20	7	•		
14:12 15:2,2	777 3:4			
16:6 17:11	779-1414 2:6	* .		
	777-1414 2.0			
24:22 25:15	8			
33:20 34:12	84:5			
40:2	875 2:20			
2004 9:8	89 1:14			
2007 1:11 6:7 9:6	07 1.14			
42:9 44:7	9			
202 2:11 3:9	9:37 1:11 6:7			
212 2:6,17,21	918-3606 2:21			
23 25:15	710-3000 2.21	•		
23rd 31:21				
24 4:15,17		·		
25 24:22	•			
2511 1:14				
254 35:20				
26 14:12 16:19				
263-3344 3:9				
297-5681 3:5				
=/1-5001 J.J	-			

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS (800) 325-3376 www.Merri www.MerrillCorp.com