

**Unknown**

---

**From:** Brass, Lorin LL SIEP-EPB  
**Sent:** 20 February 2002 07:02  
**To:** Gardy, Dominique D SIEP-EPF; Cook, Linda LZ SIG-GP; Megat, Zaharuddin Z SEPI-EPM;  
Warren, Tim T SEPI-EPA; Sprague, Bob RM SI-SEPI-EPN; Ward, Brian BJ SEPI-EPG;  
Darley, John J SIEP-EPT; Bichsel, Matthias M SIEP-EPX; Dubnicki, Carol C SIEP-EP-HR;  
Van De Vijver, Walter SI-MGDWV  
**Subject:** Note For Information - Reserves - CMD - February 2002

Excom,

The following was the NFI to CMD regarding our Reserves situation. I should've put in Excom pre-read for last Monday but forgot. At the end are some of the "action items" identified, but clearly there are more.



CMD\_NFI\_FINAL1\_ CMD note  
RRR.ZIP attachments final.ZIP

EXHIBIT  
Bichsel 19  
1031106  
GAIL F. SCHORR, CSR, C.R.R.

VIJVER 0616

V00230616

FOIA Confidential  
Treatment Requested

## Note For Information CMD 11<sup>th</sup> February 2002 EP Hydrocarbon Resources Update 1/2002

This note summarises the end 2001 Group resources situation, cleared by external audit, and in part reported in the Q4'01 and FY'01 press release. All numbers include the effects of A&D activities unless otherwise indicated.

### Summary

The total barrel of oil equivalent proved hydrocarbon reserves replacement ratio (RRR) for 2001 was 74% (52% excluding A&D), leading to a proved RRR three year rolling average, including AOSP additions (mining reserves) in 1999 of 81%, 101% excluding A&D). The 2001 RRR is below the results quoted by our main competitors (BP 191%, XOM 110%), and highlights a portfolio that is under-performing in terms of adding reserves through exploration and maturing existing scope. Future RRR performance over the plan period relies on the delivery of 'big ticket' bookings, e.g. Kudu, Sakhalin LNG and Kashagan.

Our overall resource base contains some 20 bln boe of proved reserves (c.f BP 16 bln boe, XOM 22 bln boe), some 13 bln boe of expectation reserves (of which some 8 bln boe currently fall outside of license expiry), some 17 bln boe of discovered Scope for Recovery (SFR). Our total discovered resources base is thus ca. 50 bln boe (c.f. XOM 70 bln boe) and additionally we have some 27 bln boe of undiscovered SFR. Together with any volumes resulting from new exploration licenses and acquisitions these volumes represent a significant opportunity to increase our proved reserves replacement performance and the EP organization is being geared up to tackle each and every element.

### Reserves and Resources

#### **2001 Actual Additions (See Table 1)**

The Group proved reserves base at end 2001 is 19.1 bln boe (19.7 incl. AOSP) and remains split at 50:50 oil/gas. The 2001 proved RRR of 74% amounts to a reserves addition of 1020 mln boe, which in Figure 1 is broken out by type of revision;

- 360 mln boe of Discoveries & Extensions, mainly in USA, UK and Brunei
- 350 mln boe of Revisions & Improved Recovery, mainly Netherlands, Denmark and Sakhalin offsetting negatives from Canada (50 mln boe based on field performance), New Zealand (50 mln boe based on studies on Maui field) and Oman Gisco (110 mln boe as a consequence of the renegotiation of the GISCO contract and acceleration of repayments)
- 310 mln boe of Acquisitions & Divestments, mainly Fletcher and Pinedale.

The proved oil RRR is 65%, taking the 3 year average to 102% including mining reserves and 77% without, and the proved gas RRR is 86% contributing to a 3 year

V00230617

FOIA Confidential  
Treatment Requested

VIJVER 0617

average of some 50%. During 2001 there were no changes to the reserves for AOSP. Including AOSP, the three year average proved boe RRR is 81% (101% excl A&D) and excluding AOSP, the equivalent numbers are 67% (86%).

The Total Resource base (the sum of expectation reserves and commercial discovered SFR) has increased by 2.7 bln boe to 49.4 bln boe (see Table 2); this includes a 1.3 bln boe addition from Venezuela Urdaneta West which falls outside of the current licence period. It should be further noted that total resources include some 1.1 bln boe from the consolidation of Sakhalin.

The Unit Finding and Development Cost (UFDC) for 2001 defined as the exploration and development cost incurred (\$6.1bln) divided by Group oil and gas additions, excl. purchases and sales, (0.73 bln boe) now stands at \$8.3/boe for the year 2001, and \$4.8/boe on a 3-year rolling average base (up from \$3.50/boe in 2000, see Figure 2). An increase in UFDC was forecast at the time of developing the Business Plan in 2000 when it was recognised that there would be a lag between stepping up capital spending and the increase in subsequent reserves bookings. Together with the lower than planned bookings in 2001 this impacts directly on our competitive position on this indicator where, up until this year, we were the leading player. The Unit Finding Cost (funding share) is \$1.0/boe yielding a 3-year average of \$0.62/boe, reflecting a continuation of an improving trend. Unit Finding Costs on a proved reserves additions basis are \$ 3.8/boe.

#### Comparison versus Business Plan

The EP scorecard target for 2001 was 80% (excl. A&D and strategic options), or 1120 mln boe at target production. The actual addition excl. A&D and strategic options was 710 mln boe, or 52% RRR at actual production. The main contributors to the lower than planned RRR are detailed in Figure 3.

None of the strategic options associated with reserves bookings in 2001 materialised, e.g. Saudi Gas, T2T, Salyrn, Bangestan, China, Libya.

Total SFR maturation to expectation reserves over 2001 was 0.92 bln boe or 2.2% of the commercial SFR.

#### Exposures

##### Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Alignment

Recently the SEC issued clarifications that make it apparent that the Group guidelines for booking Proved Reserves are no longer fully aligned with the SEC rules. This may expose some 1,000 mln boe of legacy reserves bookings (e.g. Gorgon, Ormen Lange, Angola and Waddenzee) where potential environmental, political or commercial 'showstoppers' exist.

##### End of License

In Oman PDO, Abu Dhabi and Nigeria SPDC (18% of EP's current production) no further proved reserves can be booked since it is no longer 'reasonably certain' that the proved reserves will be produced within license. The overall exposure should the OU business plans not transpire is 1,300 mln boe. Work has begun to address this important issue.

VIJVER 0618

V00230618

FOIA Confidential  
Treatment Requested

## **Appraisal**

### **Historical Perspective**

In 1999 - 2001 the proved reserves additions have not fully replaced production and the 2001 3-year rolling average RRR's no longer benefit from the recent 'bookings rich' period of 1996-98 (see Figures 4/5, reflecting performance with and without the effects of A&D and showing the impact of AOSP). Over that period, substantial proved reserves additions were realised from major discoveries (Australia, Gorgon, SNEPCo (Bonga), total 1.2bln boe), major revisions (Venezuela 0.3mln boe) and new business (Oman GISCO, 0.4bln boe). In addition, in 1998 significant bookings were made by bringing proved reserves closer to expectation in mature fields (total 1.2 bln boe) - this action brought us to industry standard from a much more conservative position.

### **Competitive Landscape**

The Group RRR of 74% is low in comparison with competitors who all posted RRRs in excess of 100% (Figure 6). The competitors are able to draw benefit from portfolios which, following the rounds of industry rationalisation, appear to offer wider choices in key exploration and scope maturation targets.

### **2002 and Beyond: Outlook for RRR**

The outlook for Group reserves replacement in 2002 and beyond remains challenging (see Figure 7):

- We can expect fewer additions through the base plan, because of OUs affected by 'end of license', OUs with limited remaining exploration potential and the challenge to find ways to increase expectation reserve levels in mature fields.
- And an increased reliance on strategic options and other big-ticket bookings. Control on timing of these bookings is an issue, as they are commonly occur in frontier areas (Kashagan), face fierce competition for markets (T4/T5, Sakhalin LNG), rely on emerging technologies (Kudu, SURE), or are in areas with limited control (Saudi, Whale). The subsequent reserves booking profile may be "lumpier" than in the past and these major bookings will require additional steer to ensure delivery of new reserves within the tighter SEC framework.

### **Actions taken**

In Q4 2001 and Q1 2002 a number of actions have been initiated to address this emerging issue;

- even greater focus is being placed on succeeding in exploration, a key challenge is to focus on the maturation of our 27 bln boe of undiscovered scope for recovery
- similarly EP is refocusing the organization to reinstate Technical and Operational Excellence across the whole of its core operations; hydrocarbon resources maturation is a key element of this drive
- EP is looking again at the opportunities to accelerate the maturation of our 17 bln boe of discovered scope for recovery and specifically with GP looking at the opportunities to monetize gas SFR

VIJVER 0619

V00230619

FOIA Confidential  
Treatment Requested

- Stepping up the drive to extend licenses e.g. in Abu Dhabi, Nigeria, Brunei, Oman and open up the opportunity to move the 8 bln boe expectation reserves which currently fall outside of license expiry back into our within license resource base and ultimately move to proved reserves.

### Conclusion

Our reserves replacement performance over the past few years clearly illustrates the emerging problems with our resource base and is becoming a source of competitive disadvantage. Over the plan period, the challenge will be to secure sufficient volumes from major bookings to supplement additions from a base plan portfolio and ensure that existing exposures, if they transpire, are adequately offset.

However, we do have some nearly 50 bln boe of SFR and expectation reserves currently outwith license in our overall resource base which presents a significant opportunity. We are refocusing our efforts on exploration and will pursue more aggressively the transfer from SFR to reserves but this will not be sufficient to reverse the trends – success in major strategic options in MRH's or a major acquisition is necessary.

VIJVER 0620

FOIA Confidential  
Treatment Requested

V00230620